New-York Daily Times.

VOL. IV....NO. 998. NEW-YORK, WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 29, 1854. PRICE TWO CENTS.

LATEST INTELLIGENCE

By Telegraph to the New-York Daily Times.

Meeting of the South Carolina Legislature—The Governor's Message, &c.

COLUMBIA, S. C., Tuesday, Nov. 28.

The Legislature of South Carolina met here yesterday and organized for the transaction of business.

The Governor's message was delivered to-day, and it is mostly devoted to State matters. It says the financial distress in the State is greater than at any time since 1837, but opposes the creation of new banks as not calculated to remedy the evil. It also opposes the abolishment of the militia system, recommends a change in the school system, and thinks that the laws relative to free persons of color arriving at Southern seaports might be modified so as not to give offence.

It continues: Foreign Governments should be made to carefully observe existing treaties, and our Government should pursue a sound and discreet foreign policy, and avoid the appearance of grasping at any portion of foreign territory. It regards the result of the recent elections North as indicative of great disturbance in the harmony of the country, counsels calmness, forbearance and moderation, and hopes that the people of the South will discard all party jealousies and stand by the Constitution and the Union.

Frosts at New-Orleans—The Public Health.

NEW-ORLEANS, Wednesday, Nov. 27.

The weather here is quite cold, and we are experiencing heavy frosts.

The health of the city is becoming excellent, there being but 185 deaths during the past week, *and of these only 15 were from yellow fever.*

Arrival of the Crescent City at New-Orleans—Election of a State Senator—Later from Texas.

NEW-ORLEANS, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

The steamship *Crescent City*, from New-York, *via* Havana the 24th inst., arrived here to-day. The news she brings is of little importance.

A private letter says that the rumored general rising that was to have taken place on the 19th instant, did not occur—everything passing off quietly.

Later dates from Texas, received here by the *Charles Morgan*, say that Gov. PEASE has determined to issue proposals for another contract for the building of the Pacific Railroad.

The election for a State Senator, yesterday, in this *city*, resulted in the Democratic nominee being beaten by the independent candidate by a majority of twenty-three hundred, who, it is believed, received the support of the Know Nothings.

The weather is now quite frosty and healthy.

Election of Know-Nothings for Charlestown—Two Men Killed on the Railroad.

BOSTON, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

The city of Charlestown yesterday elected three Know-Nothings to the Legislature, to fill the vacancies in their delegation.

In Dorchester yesterday afternoon DANIEL MURPHY and TIMOTHY LYNCH, who were at work on the New-York Central Railroad, fell from the gravel car and were run over and killed.

Cotton Factory Burnt.

BOSTON, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

A dispatch received here this evening from Claremont, N. H., says the Monadnock Cotton Mills at that place took fire in the picker's room about 6½ o'clock, and are partially destroyed. The fire is supposed to have been the act of an incendiary. The fire-pumps belonging to the Mills saved them from complete destruction.

The Sloop-of-War Albany.

BOSTON, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

The following are extracts from a private letter dated on board the U. S. sloop-of-war *Albany*, at St. Thomas, Sept. 27: "I should have written to you before, but we have been in chase of the Commodore, expecting that we should sail for the *United States as soon as we found him.*

We found him at Samana, and received orders from him to visit this port, then to Laguayra, Curaçoa, Carabas and Navy Bay. *We may possibly go* to the northward this Fall, but there are few signs *of it at present.* Capt. GERRY keeps his own counsel, and hence the officers and crew are not informed as to our future course."

The writer says all on board are in good health, but thinks there is a want of discipline, or, to use his own words, "Everything goes heels over head." Again, he says, "This ship answers very well to look at, but Cape Horn would knock her all to pieces, and drown all hands."

In regard to Jamaica, he says: "The Yankees have been *exploring it*, and are going to move a rendezvous and a Navy-Yard there."

Rev. H. R. HITCHCOCK and wife, Rev. GEO. PEABODY and wife, Rev. WM. O. BALDWIN and wife, *sailed from this port this morning in the* Ocean Pearl, Captain SEARS, for Honolulu.

Capsizing of the Schooner Sarah Elizabeth—One Life Lost.

HIGHLANDS, Tuesday, Nov. 28—10 o'CLOCK.

Yesterday morning Captains MCCREARAN and BATEY, of New-York, while sailing in the Bay in the schooner *Sarah Elizabeth*, belonging to Port Monmouth, were capsized at about 11 o'clock, on a flat, midway between the Highlands Lights and Sandy Hook Point. Capt. MCCREARAN attempted to walk ashore on the flats, but coming to a small channel was drowned. It was supposed he was benumbed with cold, as he is said to have been a good swimmer. His body has not been recovered up to this time, although several persons are engaged in searching for it. Capt. BATEY was removed from the schooner by a small boat.

There was another report that another vessel had capsized, with the loss of two lives, off Port Monmouth, but as far as we can ascertain it is but another version of the above accident.

Loss of the Schooner Maria Foss.

BANGOR, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

The schooner *Maria Foss*, Captain WOOSTER, from Boston bound to Hancock, capsized off Crabtree Point, Vine Haven, on Saturday, and drifted on Mark Island. The vessel and cargo proved a total loss, but all hands were saved.

Arrests of G. B. Richardson, W. H. Watt, &c.

TROY, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

Marshal MOTT arrested at Chicago a few days ago, GEO. B. RICHARDSON, who was the bookkeeper, cashier and principal clerk of his uncle, JAMES RICHARDSON, deceased, the late Collector of the port at Oswego, who was a defaulter to the Government in the sum of $15,000. Mr. RICHARDSON was committed to the jail here to-day, and his examination will probably take place this evening before United States Commissioner BRICH.

WM. H. WATT, of Rochester, a deputy of Marshal MOTT, is also under arrest here, charged with accepting a bribe, and neglecting his official duty in the arrest of CHARLES BATES, who, it is alleged, he permitted sometime since to escape to California. BATES returned not long ago, and was arrested at Brockport, N. Y., and is now under eight thousand dollars bonds to stand a trial for perjury and for counterfeiting.

Postponement of the Execution of Wm. Berry.

ALBANY, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

The execution of WM. BERRY at Buffalo, for the murder of his wife, has been postponed until Friday, the 1st of December.

Narrow Escape from Drowning.

ALBANY, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

JOSHUA MAIR, Esq., the member elect to the Assembly from Jefferson County, had a narrow escape from drowning on Friday last, in consequence of a boat capsizing that he was in, while crossing the Chaumont Bay. He and two others were in the water one hour and a half before they were rescued.

Death of an Elected Member of Assembly.

ALBION, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

Hon. ALEXIS WARD, member-elect of the Assembly from Orleans County, died at his residence at 4½ o'clock this morning, after a protracted illness.

Poultry Exhibition.

UTICA, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

The Exhibition of the State Poultry Society will open here to-morrow. The collection of fowls embraces the best descriptions, and is exceedingly large. The show promises to be very successful in every respect.

The Southern Mail—United States Steamer Princeton.

BALTIMORE, Tuesday, Nov. 28.

We have no mail this morning from south of Mobile.

The United States steamship *Princeton*, with Mr. WHEELER, the Nicaraguan Minister on board, was at Pensacola on the 18th inst.

The Ohio River—Exchange—The Hog Market.

CINCINNATI, Tuesday, Nov 28.

The Ohio River is again falling, and there is now but 4½ feet of water in the channel.

Exchange on New-York is 1 to 1½ per cent. premium.

Hogs are selling at $4 25 to $4 30. The receipts to date are 76,000 against 99,000 at the same time last year.

FROM WASHINGTON.

THE SOULE DIFFICULTY.

Diplomatic Affairs—The Albany.

WASHINGTON, Monday, Nov. 27, 1854.

I telegraphed you this evening that there was reason to expect Mr. SOULE's exclusion from Madrid; and that Mr. MASON also anticipated some trouble with the French Government in consequence of his connection with the recent SOULE affair. I am satisfied, from what I learn since, that the probability of Mr. SOULE's or Mr. MASON's exclusion is not very strong, and that *our Government* does not expect it, from the tenor of the advices *received. Mr. BUCHANAN and Mr. MASON are* both deemed by Mr. MARCY to have recklessly committed our Government in this affair, and it is feared that some unpleasant, though not serious consequences may flow therefrom.

If we refer to our own diplomatic history, to say nothing of writers on international law, we will find that we are bound to fully admit the right of either France or Spain to expel our Ministers, if they shall have become offensive by anything they have said or done in their capacity as ministers. Indeed, it is held by many, even of those who maintain that a Government cannot be permitted to refuse to receive a foreign Minister because of his antecedents, that it may expel him for any offensive conduct *after* he is Minister, even though the objectionable acts be not committed in the Ministerial capacity. If Mr. SOULE is now excluded from Madrid, I suppose this very question may be raised,—to wit, whether he can be expelled *except* for offensive acts committed in his capacity of Minister? And in that event, may we not be held to the affirmative of the proposition, upon the ground that in our remonstrances against *Mr. SOULE's exclusion from* France, we insisted that his private character could not be distinguished from the ministerial? If there can be no distinction made between citizen SOULE and Minister SOULE, in France, how can we expect any such to be conceded in Spain, between the acts of the Minister and the acts of the citizen, when the personal relations of the individual who sustains both these positions is the matter under consideration?

As to the right of either France or Madrid to exclude our Minister, it may be well to refer to the case of Mr. POUSSIN, the French Minister to the United States, who was summarily dismissed by Mr. CLAYTON in 1849, for having used disrespectful language in his official correspondence with our Government relative to the claim of the French citizen M. A. PORT, and the case of Commander CARVENDER of the United States Navy, who, after rescuing the French bark *Eugenie* from a wreck, detained her a day or two while endeavoring to *arrange for salvage. In that case M. DE TOCQUEVILLE*, the French Minister for Foreign Affairs, seemed to think POUSSIN's disrespectful language palliated by the language which had been indulged in on our side. Mr. CLAYTON differed in opinion from DE TOCQUEVILLE, and sent for POUSSIN to come on from New-York expressly to dismiss him.

This is but one case of many in which the right we exercised in POUSSIN's case has been recognized by our Government. Among others, I will only notice the case of Gen. CAMPBELL, our former Consul at Havana, who became obnoxious to the Cuban authorities during this same year, 1849, and they requested his recall. Our Government sustained the Consul, and refused to recall him, while they fully recognized the right of the Spanish authorities to revoke his *exequatur*, of which, however, they did not choose to avail themselves.

The letter received at the Navy Department—on which the hope of the safety of the sloop of war *Albany* is based—is from Commander LAVALETTE, *U. S. N., who has a relative on board*, who stated incidentally in a letter from Aspinwall, that the sloop would return home by the Mona passage. This passage, which runs between Hayti and Porto Rico, is a little north of due east from Aspinwall; and a vessel endeavoring to reach it from the latter place, would be compelled to beat against strong easterly winds and currents for the entire distance—so that it will not be surprising if the *Albany* has been detained by baffling winds alone. It is hardly probable, however, in that case, that the large ship seen going into St. Thomas, dismasted, was her, as St. Thomas is considerably to the windward of the Mona passage—although it *is deemed possible* that a storm overtaking her after she had got through the passage, might blow *her off in that direction.*

Various rumors have been afloat, to the effect that Colonel FORNEY, having made money enough, was about to resign the Clerkship of the House of Representatives, and H. M. WATTERSON, formerly of the *Union*, was talked of to fill the vacancy. It is now understood that FORNEY will not resign.

☞ Rev. JOHN T. OVERING, Rector of St. James' (Episcopal) Church in Goshen, Orange County, has resigned his charge. The resignation takes effect on the 1st of January next. Mr. OVERING has accepted the call of a congregation in Lewisburg.

NEW-YORK CITY.

THE LOSS OF THE ARCTIC.

Meeting to Institute Measures of Investigation.

A meeting of the members of the New-York Law Institute was held yesterday morning at 10 o'clock, at the Library Room in the new City Hall.

Among the number present were Hooper C. Van Vorhest, Hiram Ketchum, Joseph Blunt, Charles Tracy, Thomas W. Tucker, Abraham Underhill, Thomas Hyslop, Benjamin V. Abbott, Joseph H. Patten, Augustus F. Smith, John L. Tillinghast, William H. Brown and John J. Townsend. JOSEPH BLUNT, Esq., was chosen Chairman, and ABRAHAM UNDERHILL appointed Secretary *pro tem.*

The special object of the meeting was to take preliminary steps toward instituting an investigation into the conduct and legal liability of the seamen on board the *Arctic* at the time of her loss.

Mr. JOSEPH BLUNT spoke as follows:

In relation to the loss of the *Arctic* I suppose that some action should be taken by this body, having reference to the alleged misconduct of the crew on that occasion. A great deal of feeling has been excited in the public mind throughout the country with regard to the conduct of persons on board that vessel; and since that time another incident of shipwreck, resulting in the loss of several hundred lives, has occurred almost within sight of New-York, which, I think, renders it still more imperative that some action should be taken in relation to the security of passengers on sea-voyages. I do not think that we can look to the Board of *Underwriters with any hope of relief. It does not* seem to be their duty to look after those that perished in the latter. Their province seems more especially to appertain to the preservation of property; and I think, therefore, that it peculiarly becomes this body to say that a legal investigation should be held in relation to the conduct of the sailors on board that vessel. I was informed by an officer of the Navy, who was a passenger in the *Europe* when she brought those rescued from the *Arctic*, that from information which he had received, he was convinced that *there was a concerted plan entered into to lower one end of one of the boats partly down, for the purpose of throwing out the women who were placed in it by Capt. Luce, so that there might be room for the crew.* Now, if that be the case, there is no question about it, but that the United States Court has a right to investigate this matter. It is very clear that the first port into which the persons come after the commission of a crime at sea, has jurisdiction over the parties. If this is at all true, there are grounds for investigation of the highest weight. The pitiful excuse alleged by part of the crew, that their lives were as dear to them as another's, will justify any suspicions of such men. They were bound to remain at their posts. Where *courage is necessary in the performance of a duty, cowardice is a crime.* It is not only a moral, it is a legal *crime. A soldier flying from his post may be legally* put to death. If a District Attorney should shrink from the performance of his duty, from fear of death, he is impeachable, and if convicted, is forever disqualified from every office of honor or profit. These officers and seamen were bound to *peril their lives if necessary*,—to peril their lives for the safety of those on board. It has been decided that where sailors combined for the purpose of throwing over passengers from an overloaded boat, the sailor is bound to part his life for the preservation of the passengers to a greater degree than passengers are for one another, and they were published by Judge STORY. It seems to me that there is another ground on which they may be made amenable. By a United States statute passed in 1790, it was declared that any person causing any seaman or officer to desert from a vessel, is punishable by imprisonment for ten years. This statute may be an effectual by taking away the boats as in any other manner. At all events an inquiry should be had, that matter ought to be investigated, and it does seem to me that it is our duty, as men sworn to the performance of our duty in the discharge of our professions, to do something in this matter. I therefore think that it is highly desirable that two or three members of the profession should be appointed a committee to confer with the United States District Attorney and to aid him in the investigation of this matter, so that hereafter men may be impelled to the discharge of their duty by fear, if they can be by no other consideration; that they may feel that they would rather meet the mercy of God in the brave discharge of their duty, than the justice of man when they wantonly shrink from its performance. I make a motion therefore, that a committee of this character be adopted, and a committee appointed.

Mr. CHARLES TRACY—The Chairman is perhaps aware of the fact that this thing was mentioned here at a former meeting.

Mr. BLUNT—I understand that a meeting was had and that Mr. Butler was Chairman of the Committee.

Mr. DUBOIS—The bar at the former meeting refused to act in the premises, on the ground that they considered that it was not their duty to act as a Committee of Safety; that the bar, as a bar, and that this Society, as a Society, were not authorized to form themselves into a Committee of Public Safety.

Mr. BLUNT—In relation to the meeting of the Underwriters, gentlemen, I am fully satisfied that nothing can or will be done by that body for the safety of passengers. It happens that in the year 1837 being deeply moved by the loss of the Monmouth and Rose, I took some steps for the purpose of establishing life boats on both sides of the Hook. I did not succeed in obtaining anything from them until after I had told them that I would go to the women of New-York and get the money required—some three thousand dollars—and then publish an account of the whole affair.

Mr. CHARLES TRACY—I think that this matter, would appear in a stronger shape if it should lie upon the table until the next meeting. *I am decidedly in favor of the* motion, but I would like to have it appear to be done by a larger number than those present.

The motion of Mr. TRACY to lay on the table was lost, and the resolution was on motion adopted, with but one dissenting vote.

Resolved, That a Committee of three members of the Bar be appointed to confer with the United States District Attorney, and to aid him in the investigation of the conduct of the *Arctic* crew, on the occasion of the loss of that vessel.

Messrs. HIRAM KETCHUM, H. C. VAN VORHEST and JOSEPH BLUNT, were elected such committee.

The meeting then adjourned to Tuesday next.

The Wrecks of "the Arctic," "New Era," and "the Jewess."

We understand that the United States District Attorney has been for some days occupied in laying before the Grand Jury for the United States Circuit Court a mass of evidence in relation to the shipwrecks of the above vessels, and the deplorable loss of life and property consequent thereon. We are assured that the investigation, when completed, will be found most thorough and searching—albeit *conducted with the most rigid impartiality towards* the officers of these vessels—and that some very *startling developments may be looked for* in the presentation of the Grand Jury, which will probably be made in the course of a week or ten days.

MAIL ROBBERIES.

Important Arrest—Ten Mail Bags Rifled of Remittances.

A few days ago we published full details of the detection of a delinquent Clerk in our City Post-Office. Since then another Government official has been detected in the robbery of the mails. It appears that information was recently dispatched to Colonel NORTH, Special Agent of the Post-Office Department, to the effect that a series of extensive robberies had lately been perpetrated in the vicinity of Elmira, and he has paid a visit to that place during the past week. The result of his investigations is the arrest in this City of LEWIS H. STONE, who was yesterday committed to the care of the United States Marshal.

Stone has been in the employ of the Elmira contractor, who carries the mails to and from the Erie Railroad cars; and the opportunity thus given him to secure mail-bags, he seems to have improved—or rather misimproved to its utmost. Ten mail-bags, rifled of their contents, were found secreted in a vault near the barn where Stone stabled his horses, and we are informed there is little doubt of his guilt. Col. NORTH has been active in this matter, as Deputy Marshal C. K. LOCKLIN. Yesterday afternoon the prisoner was arraigned before a U. S. Commissioner and committed to answer the charge of "abstracting the contents and embezzling valuable remittances from the U. S. Mails."

A Robber Caught at the Astor House.

A fashionably dressed young man, who gives his name as JAMES E. FULLER, and who says he is a clerk down-town, was arrested at the Astor House on Monday while in the act of stealing a watch from Mr. JONES, bookkeeper. Suspicion had been fixed upon the prisoner, and Mr. JONES set a trap to catch him, which proved successful; having pretended to be asleep upon a sofa, while FULLER was tempted by the show of a valuable watch and chain to seize them,—and so was caught.

Erie Railroad.

A strong movement in favor of Temperance has been made on the Erie Railroad. The Superintendent, Mr. MCCALLUM, has determined, so far as he is able, to prevent the sale of liquor at the Dépôts on the line of the Road. TURNER's Station, in Orange County, has heretofore been the regular stopping place for meals, &c., and liquor has been sold at the bar in great quantities. The *employés* of the Company having been somewhat too prone to refresh themselves, the running of the trains was altered, so that few or none of them stopped for meals until the evil was abated. In consequence of this action, the bar at TURNER's has been removed, the counter taken up and transported to parts unknown, and only coffee and spring-water remain to be procured within the Dépôt buildings. At the private bar of the house, notice is given to the effect that no liquor will hereafter be *sold to persons known to be employed* on the Road. Similar action, it is understood, will be taken at other points.

Since the accession of the present Superintendent, the running of the Erie cars has been remarkably regular and free from accident; more so, we think, than in any previous season. Scarcely a single serious catastrophe has occurred during the Summer and Fall; a fact which shows that careful superintendence christens the tendency to disasters, even on a Road so celebrated for mishaps as the Erie has been, in times past. "Safety first, speed afterwards," is the motto now; and it is a good one for all Railroad Companies to heed.

John B. Holmes.

But a few days ago JOHN B. HOLMES was liberated from the City Prison, where he was confined on a charge of murdering Policeman GOURLAY in the First Ward. As will be recollected, HOLMES was indicted for manslaughter instead of murder, as found by the Coroner's Jury at the conclusion of the inquisition before Coroner HILTON. Since *HOLMES has had his liberty, the police of that district* seem to have watched his movements. The *result has been his rearrest* on a charge of threatening the life of Officer SHERMAN, of the same Ward, who was the companion of GOURLAY, during the difficulty with HOLMES, when the deceased was killed. HOLMES was taken before Justice STUART, at the Jefferson Market Police Court, who, after hearing SHERMAN's complaint, did not consider it warranted, and released the accused from custody.

Irving Literary Union.

The third annual meeting of the Irving Literary Union was held in Hope Chapel last evening. The house was thronged. Dodworth's Band was in attendance. The President's Introduction, by WILLIAM H. MOONEY, was well written and creditably delivered. Essays were delivered on the "Blue Laws of Connecticut," by LEWIS BEACH; "Inconsistency," by W. JEROME COOPER; "Ambitionists," by GEORGE W. STUYVESANT; and "Chivalry," by J. O. GAYES. Each of the speakers acquitted themselves very successfully, and were loudly applauded. JAMES LANE recited a poem on "Utopia." Hahoundas in happy "him," which were fully appreciated by the audience.

☞ GREY, the Vitriol Man, remains in confinement. His printing establishment is advertised for sale in our columns, this morning, on an assignment. It is understood that GRAY was for several years Superintendent of the Sunday School attached to Dr. MASON's Church, and has hitherto borne an exemplary character. He has been noted for his opposition to theatres, and was very earnest in his arguments against them. Perhaps his acidity was intended to break up these places by preventing attendance upon them.

☞ The *Sunday Dispatch* has enlarged its sheet, upon entering on a new volume. It is now a paper of eight pages, handsomely printed upon clear type and good paper, and is conducted with energy and ability. The *Dispatch* is now the largest of the Sunday papers, Alderman WILLIAMSON remains at the head of the concern.

☞ Yesterday morning an inquest was held on the body of an unknown woman, whom a *Nineteenth Ward Policeman found dead near the Harlem* Railroad track, corner Fourth avenue and Sixty-second-street. The body of deceased was examined by Dr. CHASTENEY, who found the jaw dislocated, and part of the left cheek mangled in a shocking manner. It was evident she had been thrown off the rails by the conductor of one of the night trains, and the Jury so rendered their verdict. The deceased was about thirty years old, and supposed to be a German emigrant.

☞ Yesterday morning a man recently arrived from Ireland, named Patrick Martin was instantly killed by falling down a flight of stairs, at his boarding-house, No. 99 Gold-street. Deceased had in his possession a draft for £16 sterling, which was placed in the hands of the public administrator.

The Front-street Fire.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

We presume you would like to correct the statement in your paper of this morning concerning the loss by fire in store No. 79 Front-street, as regards us. We occupy the back office on the first floor; we had no goods in the store; we have a policy of insurance for $300 on our office furniture, in the Howard Insurance Company, which is all the insurance we have, and we have sustained no loss worth calling on the office for. We make this statement in justice to the office in which you state we were insured to the amount of $15,000, viz.: Knickerbocker Insurance Company, $5,000; City Insurance Company, $5,000; Union Mutual Insurance Company, $5,000.

NEW-YORK, Nov. 28, 1854. PECK & LYMAN.

One Set Right.

To the Editor of the New-York Daily Times:

Your publication of Saturday, Nov. 18, contained a paragraph deeply injurious to my reputation, viz.: that I had been arrested on the charge of robbing my employers, Messrs. TERRELL, JAMESON & Co., of Cortlandt-street. I was certainly arrested, owing to a mistake, but as no charge could be sustained against me, I was soon pronounced. I trust you will give this statement a place in your journal.

Yours, respectfully,

FRANCIS MCGRATH.

LONG ISLAND.

Kings County Court of Sessions.

This Court was in session in Brooklyn yesterday, Hon. HENRY A. MOORE, County Judge, on the bench, and Justices STILWELL and STRYKER.

DANIEL MCCONDUAL was placed upon trial for receiving stolen goods. The jury found the accused guilty as charged, but recommended him to the mercy of the Court. He was sentenced to pay a fine of $75, and to two months' imprisonment in the Penitentiary. The latter part of the sentence was suspended.

JOHN MALONY and PETER MCGUIRE pleaded guilty to selling liquor without license. The former was fined $10, and the latter $15, which being paid they were discharged from custody.

JAMES DAILEY and JAMES O'DWYER were each tried by a Jury on indictments for selling liquor without license, and a verdict of guilty rendered by the Jurors. Sentence in these cases was suspended.

Kings County Court.

This Court convened in Brooklyn yesterday, Hon. Judge STRONG on the bench. An unsuccessful attempt was made to empanel a Grand Jury. The Court then adjourned to this morning.

A special term of the Circuit Court was convened in Brooklyn yesterday, Judge SANFORD presiding. No business of importance was transacted.

The Drury Case Still Going.

QUEENS COUNTY CIRCUIT COURT—Nov. 27.

Before Judge Rockwell.

Samuel Drury vs. George Wilkes and Others—Action for Trespass—Damages $20,000.—This is an action which has been a long time on the Calendar of this County. It was brought by Mr. DRURY, Sign of Asteria, against Geo. WILKES and others, for a trespass committed at Mr. DRURY's house, and upon his property, on the 16th of November, 1849. On which day the defendants, without any warrant or authority, but pretending to have a search warrant, entered the plaintiff's house and, in his absence, (WILKES directing the others,) proceeded to ransack all his private apartments, and to take and carry away a large amount of valuable property, consisting of gold, silver, diamonds, and other precious stones, and which was a part of the plaintiff's stock in trade, and the rest his family plate. Of this property, very little has been made to a very small extent, though it had been said there was no foundation for the trespass upon which it was taken; so in all the extract newspaper slips of the time, and which then caused so much excitement in the community, Mr. DRURY was acquitted.

Mr. E. J. CLAUS (the plaintiff's counsel) in opening the case dwelt upon the outrageous conduct of the defendants, in going to the plaintiff's house and designing it as they had done, and concluded they were bound in a conspiracy against the plaintiff, of which that was one result.

A number of witnesses, both on their direct and cross examination, described the manner of the attack and overhauling at the house, and in a great many items proved the value of the things seized and carried away.

Judge RODGERS having charged the Jury in his usual manner, they returned in about fifteen minutes, a verdict for plaintiff, $10,000.

The Court, on motion, in view of the nature of the case, added some extra allowance, amounting to about $3,000 more.

The President's Message—A Peaceful Tone—The Tariff.

The Washington correspondent of the Philadelphia *Ledger*, referring to the rumored message of the President, says he thinks it will be a pacific document showing a strong desire to preserve friendly relations with all European governments, at the same time, recommending an increase in our Army and Navy establishments, as a means of securing that peace which the country acknowledges it is in need of. It is a very easy matter for a President, not directly responsible for the Government, to urge warlike measures on the Administration; but it is a very different thing for a responsible Administration to adopt them. Even American Ministers and Charges abroad might, in their zeal for the glory and aggrandisement of the country, be tempted to give rash advice; but an Administration feeling the weight of its obligations to the country and to the civilized world cannot afford to make hasty and inconsiderate jeopardy the highest interests of humanity and the Republic. No extraordinary events, therefore, will be demanded by the President to meet contingencies arising from the acts either of the Government here in Washington, or of our Ministers abroad.

He feels certain that no radical change will be produced in the Tariff; and I trust no *experiment* legislation will be attempted on the subject. Even if the whole duty on tea were taken off, it would not help the bankrupt Railroad Companies, or enable worthless concerns about to be started to get money for talk. The difficult financial question in which the country is placed, cannot be improved by nostrums or specifics. What we need is *quiet*, not *agitation*; and this can only be secured to the country by actual savings, and by putting a stop to speculative investments, instead of stimulating them.

Boston Water—It Both an Anodyne and Stimulant.

The people of Boston have for a considerable time back, by weekly announcing their wants, The Chronicle, says the *Post*, one preparing for the, that, amongst the "current and fashion events," and amongst the evidences of taste, which in very recent there are no dead dishes to the water, that no defunct bean pots and crockery and shavings and British ale coming down, in an impenetrable mass, impregnating not much vanquished Cochituate; that we are sorry that vehement drought, which, may not, beyond any doubt, hitherto, to be caused by decomposing vegetable matter on the bottom of the pond, that rose in foul gases, the effect of sudden changes in the temperature, and that we must wait patiently for a change that will come when the inquiry has ceased.

Post-Office Robberies.—The Washington correspondent of the Baltimore *Sun* says: The recent detection of a defaulter in the Baltimore Post-Office should not fail to awaken additional vigilance in other places. For a considerable length of time boarders at BROWN's Hotel, in this city, have been complaining of the loss of letters. Postmaster BARNEY and his first assistant, ALBERT TEES, finally adopted a plan to ferret out the cause. A clerk, Mr. A. H. YOUNG, was accordingly detailed to deliver to the chamber maid who called for the mail for Brown's Hotel, the letters and papers, and then follow him at an unsuspected distance, for further developments. Accordingly, yesterday morning the messenger called and received the budget. He was followed and watched, when, before reaching his house, the young donkey was seen to open the papers and take therefrom several letters, which he put in his pocket. He then continued on, and arriving at the kennel-grate of the hotel, soon found Mr. YOUNG at his heels. This gentleman demanded the privilege examine the boy's pockets, which being done the stolen letters were discovered. The misfortune is that, being a slave, the only punishment which can be *inflicted for all his offences is a whipping!* and, it is said, the proprietor devolved that duty upon the boy's master.

THE STEAMER OCEAN INQUEST.—The Boston *Post* informs us that inquests were held on Monday afternoon, by Coroners PRATT and STEDMAN, upon the bodies of the victims by the recent disaster. The inquest by Coroner PRATT was occupied by the examination of four witnesses, with regard to the identification of the bodies. The body of JABEZ ROBINSON was identified by his brother and brother-in-law, Mr. MORRISON, of this city. He had resided in Lowell for two years; was nineteen years old, and was on his way to his native place, of the Parks of the Kennebec, in Maine. The body of the child was identified by Mr. HAGERTY, an uncle, who resides in Canton street, the mother of the lady in whom came it was drowned. The female body was identified as that of MARY B. HARDING, of China, Me., by her sister, who came down Monday for the purpose. She was 17 years old, had been at work for some months at Lowell, and was going home on account of ill health. The inquest adjourned till Tuesday afternoon at 2 o'clock. Coroner STEDMAN's inquest was upon the body of HIRAM L. WING, who died at the hospital.

GEN. CASS AND THE PRESIDENCY.—The Detroit *Times* thus talks of Gen. CASS and the Presidency: "The assumption that Gen. CASS has laid aside all aspirations for the Presidency, is entirely gratuitous on the part of our Washington correspondent. Here at home his friends protest against thus coldly dispatching him with a single stroke of the pen, and, will, by his activity, bring his name forward in their own time. We pay confidently that Gen. CASS will be a candidate before the National Convention, and nothing is more calculated to sharpen that determination than just such paragraphs as the above, and the unmeasured blows which he receives from a large portion of the Southern Press. Besides, the idea of Western CASS men being transferred over to Dougl[illegible] is too silly to be commented upon."

THANKSGIVING FOR POOR ORPHANS.—It is a good old New-England custom to give the poor a share of our feast at Thanksgiving. It ought to be not here, where so many die down at night and if they have picked up their scant or begged their cold victuals during the day. We want, if possible some in, to make this Thanksgiving a little more merry and comfortable children of the poor. It is a hard lot to be a poor boy or a begging orphan girl in this great City. Who will help to make one day more cheerful to them? Who will give the poor something of the overflowing good things they have enjoyed to make a feast for the poor? Subscriptions can be sent either to the Herald Building, or to the office of the Children's Aid Society, Cooper Hall, Astor-place.

FRANCE

Personal, Literary, Theatrical and Miscellaneous Gossip.

Special Correspondence of the N. Y. Daily Times.

PARIS, Wednesday, Nov. 1, 1854.

To the numerous clubs in contemplation, of which I have spoken, I must add another, the realization of which has thus far been prevented by the WAR. The plans were ready, and the land already belonged to the undertakers, who were none other than M. M. YORK & Co., the builders of the Crystal Palace. From the prospectus, I should imagine that their idea was to introduce the Astor House into France, or rather to graft upon what you know of a hotel what we know of a club. The edifice was to be called the Palace Club, or Family Palace. It was to have been an entire novelty in one respect, inasmuch as it would have been an inhabited club—a club on the first floor, a dwelling house in the upper stories. A family taking a suite of rooms would pay, besides their rent, a fixed sum of 1,000 francs a year for the use of the conveniences of the club beneath, the library, the dining-room, the reading-room, the billiard-room, the baths, the servants, &c., &c. The French are not gregarious by nature or habit, but, in view of the economy presented by living in common, and by eating in common, there is an evident tendency toward the abandonment of their isolated practices, and toward the participation, at reduced rates, in the advantages of "clubbing." Traveling was the first necessity to be thus improved upon; the solitary post-chaise gave way to the railway train, transporting men and women by the lump. The idea of the Palace Club is to give its subscribers, for 1,000 francs a year, an amount of luxury that would cost, if furnished to a single family, 100,000 francs at least. When the war is over, if indeed it ever is over, Mr. YORK means to commence operating immediately upon his scheme, though he would have preferred to inaugurate the two palaces—the Family and the Crystal—about the same time.

You will do me the justice to acknowledge that I seldom venture to express an opinion or hazard a description, in the delicate matter of Paris fashions. Now and then, in consideration of a startling novelty or a daring innovation, I judge best to intrude upon this department, exclusively treated by the heavy commercial papers; witness the Umbrella Great Coat and the Expansive Petticoat. I desire to speak to-day of the Chapeau-Cuirasse, or the Bonnet which is at the same time a Head-dress—a graceful and elegant "imagination" of Mme Du Gezanne.

The bonnet head-dress is a construction that takes apart. That is, it puts on like an ordinary bonnet, and so far from encouraging suspicions of treachery; but, upon untying it, and slipping a certain spring, the front comes off, leaving the crown behind it to do the duty of an evening garnish. Thus, at operas, theatres, concerts, at any gathering, in fact, where the heat is oppressive, the bonnet may be at once converted into a coiffure without any previous preparation of the hair. This invention has been patented, and can be obtained of Mme. DU GEZANNE only. It is made of velvet, the crown being diversified with lace and ribbon trimmings. The price is sixteen dollars. The success of the innovation is such that orders are with difficulty supplied. Now, lest any lady should, by return of mail, instruct me to forward her one of these labor-saving contrivances, (as one did send an order for a self-expanding petticoat,) let me say that her missive and I would hardly meet this side of Nice or Genoa, if indeed we met at all; for after seven years in Paris one feels the need of wintering on the Mediterranean, and treading once more American soil, in the Principality of Monaco.

A revolution on a large scale has taken place in the office of the *Mousquetaire*. All the assistant editors, to the number of seven, deserted DUMAS in a lump, for reasons in the highest degree singular. A lady by the name of NOE had offered a manuscript to the paper for insertion; and had successively read it—an inconceivable infliction, it appears,—to the seven editors, who each and all rejected it. Neither abashed nor discouraged, she undertook to hunt down DUMAS, and spent a week in dogging him, he on his part dining out and sleeping out, and madly seeking in various ways to avoid her. But she caught him at last, and laid siege to him with such vigor that he succumbed as if he were any Sebastopol. He was forced to promise in order to obtain a truce. He informed his staff that he had yielded, and should rewrite, reform and respell the dreadful manuscript, or as he jocosely calls it, Mrs. NOE's "tube." The editors all resigned that night. It was curious to see DUMAS fill the *Mousquetaire* himself the next day, and furnish forth the most amusing number since that in which he criticised one of his own plays. He has begun to tell the story of the "tube," and it threatens to supply laughter for a fortnight.

A railroad mile-man is sometimes an inventive creature. The Strasburg Railroad has three hundred mile-men, one of whom has proved himself a genius. He came running to the nearest station one day, crying that they must stop the approaching train, as a little further on the rails had been tampered with, and as he was endeavoring to remove the obstacles a man armed with a dirk set upon him and stabbed him in four places. He showed the wounds and proved the obstructions upon the track. He was soon after arrested for a culpable attempt at a hoax. It was clearly discovered that he had himself disturbed the rails, and had drawn his own blood. His object would appear to have been to save a great many lives, to recommend himself to the Company, to obtain promotion, and to get the Government model for deeds of heroism and self-preservation. I hardly know what his offence may be technically called, or what the punishment can be, but the man is under lock and key and the company is very much displeased with him.

Two Danubian gentlemen were one day sailing in the stream from which they derived their name. An Austrian *gen d'arme* was mounting guard upon the bank. Suddenly the boat capsized, and the two gentlemen were precipitated into the billows. The *gen d'arme*, who had a slight skiff at his disposal, could only rescue one, and he was hesitating which to choose, when the younger of the two shouted "*Vive Kossuth!*" "Oh he," said the officer, "you shout *Vive Kossuth* at the moment of death, do you? you must be a desperate anarchist, indeed!" and he immediately withdrew him from the brine, in order to arrest him! It turned out afterwards, that the drowning man had adopted this plan as a means of salvation, and that in reality he cared no more for KOSSUTH than you or I do! But I am afraid I have made an unfortunate comparison.

During the last four months, I have not seen twenty numbers of the TIMES. Several of my attempts to obtain a regular sequence having successively failed. I have at last hit upon one as infallible as the Pope, if not more so. You may say, if you like, to those who have been instrumental in excluding the paper, (and they are on your side of the water,) that I now get my TIMES before anybody else gets his letters, and that the plan works delightfully. Not all the police in France can prevent me now from reading the latest Parisian gossip.

Yesterday morning the little pantomimic theatre called "Les Folies Nouvelles," just assumed by M. HUART, of the *Charivari*, was shut by order of the Government. Its offence was this. The *Pierrot* of the pantomime, dressed as a father confessor, had the evening before, (a first representation,) listened to the confession of a peasantess of very agreeable features. The nature of the narrative is inferred from the demonstrations of *Pierrot*, whose countenance expresses the interest he takes in the young lady's adventures. This was thought an improper and irreverent caricature of one of the most serious offices of the Catholic religion, and an order to close the establishment was issued. M. HUART, however, managed to avert the pronunciamento, and the interdict was withdrawn, and the offensive scene expunged.

The Comedie Française had promised for this week a revival of M. CAMILLE DOUCET's "Fireside Enemies," to which the author has lately added 250 lines. The Emperor sent word to the manager that he desired the play to be produced at Compiegne in the theatre attached to the palace, and that the public must wait till afterwards.

The manager of the Comedie, ARSENE HOUSSAYE, being compelled to visit Italy with his wife, on account of her declining health, sent in his resignation to the Minister of State. The inevitable Dr. VERON at once presented himself as a successor for the desirable position. He even thought himself so sure of success that he sent RACHEL a present of a bracelet for which he paid 12,000 francs! But the Minister refused to accept HOUSSAYE's resignation, giving him leave of absence for three months instead. The deluded VERON can add a new chapter now to his memoirs.

Stone cutters are engaged in carving into the outer wall of the Crystal Palace the names of all inventors, discoverers, benefactors, &c., &c., whose memory it would be proper to honor upon an industrial edifice. These sunken letters are gilt, and are legible from a distance. I have to mention two unfortunate mistakes in the spelling of foreign names: HARWEY for HARVEY, and HUMPHEY for HUMPHREY DAVY.

The Opera is, at this moment, willing to pardon CRUVELLI, if she will only consent to return. The Minister of State will restore her bank account intact, or as intact as funds thus fingered are likely to be, upon a restitution. I doubt, however, whether the audience would forgive as easily. The Prima Donna does not lack defenders. The ground taken in her favor is that she was exceedingly uncomfortable at the Opera, where her fabulous engagement had made her enemies and calumniators; she had sought to be released from the engagement, but the management would not consent. Her only resource was to quit without permission. She left $50,000 at her banker's, where the Opera might help itself to such damages as the proper court might condemn her, by default, to pay.

The other famous case, LECOUVE versus RACHEL, is soon to be pleaded in appeal. CREMIEUX is to take the following stand,—a triumphant one in my opinion: An author who has cast a character to a particular actor, has the right to say, even after the preliminary studies and rehearsals, "I have overrated or misjudged your capacities: you cannot play the part." Why has not an actor the reciprocal right to say, "I was mistaken in the nature of the part; it does not suit my talent; give it to some one else." Of course this reasoning would be invalid in the case of a stock actor, who has engaged to play the characters cast to him, but RACHEL's engagement is free from any such conditions. Whatever may be the verdict, RACHEL will never play *Medea*, having determined to pay damages, instead, if necessary.

The Circus has produced its "Capture of Sebastopol" under the title of "The Battle of the Alma." The concluding tableau in the original spectacle was suppressed, but will be restored whenever history permits. To make out the proper length a corresponding quantity was added at the beginning, in the form of a fantastic and allegorical gathering of the rivers just now conspicuous, the Danube, Pruth, &c., and they have the whole subject over in a very facetious way, as I am assured by an eye-witness. The Manager of this Theatre was a victim of the immortal Bacharest Tatar, and with the Emperor forms a most deluded couple. His Majesty, I am afraid, will never get over it. I am anxious but his health suffer, he keeps himself so very close at St. Cloud.

Tallering has added upon the trophies thus far achieved. We have Alma velvet, and Crimean stuffs for evening toilets. A new style of collar and sleeves is called Balaklava. DIOX TIFFO.

EUROPEAN EXTRACTS.

Mr. Bright upon the Causes of the War—Interesting Correspondence.

MANCHESTER, Friday, Oct. 27, 1854.

DEAR SIR: In the few words which I exchanged with you in the street a short time ago you declared the present war to be one of the wickedest things that this country ever engaged in. I believe those were your words, or at least to that effect. Now, as I have a sincere respect for your well-considered opinion, and as I have come to a very opposite conclusion, your dictum sent me to such books as I possess on the law of nations, especially to VATTEL, and, oddly enough, I found that more than a quarter of a century ago I had consulted his pages as to the justice or otherwise of the first crusade. My reading has confirmed my first opinion; and, as Manchester will meet next Thursday to commence a subscription for the widows and orphans of those who fell in the contest, and as the members for Manchester ought to be there, I shall be exceedingly pleased if you will then state the conclusive reasons for your condemnation of the war, and enable us to determine either to give it our hearty support, or then and there to petition for peace.

Believe me to be, with esteem and respect, yours truly, ABSALOM WATKIN.

JOHN BRIGHT, Esq., M. P.

RHYL, NORTH WALES, Sunday, Oct. 29, 1854.

MY DEAR SIR: I think, on further consideration, you will perceive that the meeting on Thursday next would be a most improper occasion for a discussion as to the justice of the war. Just or unjust, the war is a fact, and the men whose lives are miserably thrown away in it have clearly a claim upon the country, and especially upon those who, by the expression of opinions favorable to the war, have made themselves responsible for it. I cannot therefore for a moment appear to discourage the liberality of those who believe the war to be just, and whose utmost generosity, in my opinion, will make but a wretched return for the ruin they have brought upon hundreds of families.

With regard to the war itself, I am not surprised at the difference between your opinion and mine, if you decide a question of this nature by an appeal to VATTEL. The "law of nations" is not my law, and at best it is a code full of confusion and contradictions, having its foundation on custom, and not on a higher morality; and on custom which has always been determined by the will of the strongest. It may be a question of some interest whether the first crusade was in accordance with the law and principles of VATTEL; but whether the first crusade was just, and whether the policy of the crusades was a wise policy, is a totally different question. I have no doubt that the American war was a just war according to the principles laid down by writers on the "law of nations," and yet no man in his senses in this country will now say that the policy of George III. towards the American colonies was a wise policy, or that war a righteous war. The French war, too, was doubtless just according to the same authorities; for there were fears, and anticipated dangers to be combated, and law and order to be sustained in Europe; and yet few intelligent men now believe the French war to have been either necessary or just. You must excuse me if I refuse altogether to pin my faith upon VATTEL. There have been writers on international law who have attempted to show that private assassination and the poisoning of wells were justifiable in war; and perhaps it would be difficult to demonstrate wherein these horrors differ from some of the practices which are now in vogue. I will not ask you to mould your opinion on these points by such writers, nor shall I submit my judgment to that of VATTEL.

The question of this present war is in two parts—first, was it necessary for us to interfere by arms in a dispute between the Russians and the Turks; and, secondly, having determined to interfere, under certain circumstances, why was not the whole question terminated when Russia accepted the Vienna note? The seat of war is 3,000 miles away from us. We had not been attacked—not even insulted in any way. Two independent Governments had a dispute, and we thrust ourselves into the quarrel. That there was some ground for the dispute is admitted by the four Powers in the proposition of the Vienna note. But for the English Minister at Constantinople and the Cabinet at home, the dispute would have settled itself, and the last note of Prince MENSCHIKOFF would have been accepted, and no human being can point out any material difference between that note and the Vienna note, afterwards agreed upon and recommended by the Governments of England, France, Austria, and Prussia. But our Government would not allow the dispute to to be settled. Lord STRATFORD DE REDCLIFFE held private interviews with the Sultan, did his utmost to alarm him, insisted on his rejection of all terms of accomodation with Russia, and promised him the armed assistance of England if war should arise.

The Turks rejected the Russian note, and the Russians crossed the Pruth, occupying the Principalities as a "material guarantee." I do not defend this act of Russia; it has always appeared to me impolitic and immoral; but I think it likely it could be well defended out of VATTEL, and it is at least as justifiable as the conduct of Lord JOHN RUSSELL and Lord PALMERSTON in 1850, when they sent 10 or 12 ships of war to the Piræus, menacing the town with a bombardment if the dishonest pecuniary claim made by Don PACIFICO were not at once satisfied.

But the passage of the Pruth was declared by England and France and Turkey not to be a *casus belli*. Negotiations were commenced at Vienna, and the celebrated Vienna note was drawn up. This note had its origin in Paris, was agreed to by the Conference at Vienna, ratified and approved by the Cabinets of Paris and London, and pronounced by all these authorities to be such as would satisfy the honor of Russia, and at the same time be compatible with the "independence and integrity of Turkey and the honor of the Sultan." Russia accepted this note at once,—accepted it, I believe, by telegraph, even before the precise words of it had been received in St. Petersburg. Everybody thought the question now settled; a Cabinet Minister assured me we should never hear another word about it; "the whole thing is at an end," he said, and so it appeared for a moment. But the Turk refused the note which had been drawn up by his own arbitrators, and which Russia had accepted. And what did the Ministers say then, and what did their organ, the *Times*, say? They said it was merely a difference about words; it was a pity the Turk made any difficulty, but it would soon be settled. But it was not settled, and why not? It is said that the Russian Government put an improper construction on the Vienna note. But it is unfortunate for those who say this, that the Turks placed precisely the same construction upon it; and further, it is upon record that the French Government advised the Russian Government to accept it, on the ground that "its general sense differed in nothing from the sense of the proposition of Prince MENSCHIKOFF." It is, however, easy to see why the Russian Government should, when the Turks refused the award of their own arbitrators, re-state its original claim, that it might not be damaged by whatever concession it had made in accepting the award; and this is evidently the explanation of the document issued by Count NESSELRODE, and about which so much has been said. But, after this the Emperor of Russia spoke to Lord WESTMORLAND on the subject at Olmutz, and expressed his readiness to accept the Vienna note, with any clause which the Conference might add to it, explaining and restricting its meaning; and he urged that this should be done at once, as he was anxious that his troops should re-cross the Pruth before Winter. It was in this very week that the Turks summoned a grand council, and, contrary to the advice of England and France, determined on a declaration of war.

Now observe the course taken by our Government. They agreed to the Vienna note; not fewer than five members of this Cabinet have filled the office of Foreign Secretary, and therefore may be supposed capable of comprehending its meaning; it was a note drawn up by the friends of Turkey, and by arbitrators self constituted on behalf of Turkey; they urged its acceptance on the Russian Government, and the Russian Government accepted it; there was then a dispute about its precise meaning, and Russia agreed, and even proposed, that the arbitrators at Vienna should remove it by explaining it, and limiting its meaning, so that no question of its intention should be worth raising. But the Turks having rejected it, our Government turned round and declared the Vienna note, their own note, entirely inadmissible, and defended the conduct of the Turks in having rejected it. The Turks declared war against the advice of the English and French Governments—so, at least, it appears from the blue books; but the moment war was declared by Turkey our Government openly sympathized with England, then, was committed to the war. She had promised armed assistance to Turkey—a country without government, and whose administration was at the mercy of contending factions—and, incapable of fixing a policy for herself, she allowed herself to be dragged on by the current of events at Constantinople. She "drifted," as Lord CLARENDON said, exactly describing his own position, into the war, apparently without rudder and without compass.

The whole policy of our Government in this matter is marked with an imbecility perhaps without example. I will not say they intended a war from the first, though there are not wanting many evidences that war was the object of at least a section of the Cabinet. A distinguished member of the House of Commons said to a friend of mine, immediately after the accession of the present Government to office, "You have a war Ministry, and you will have a war. But I leave this question to point out the disgraceful feebleness of the Cabinet, if I am to absolve them from the guilt of having sought occasion for war. They promised the Turk armed assistance on conditions, or without conditions. They, in concert with France, Austria, and Prussia, took the original dispute out of the hands of Russia and Turkey, and formed themselves into a court of arbitration in the interests of Turkey; they made an award, which they declared to be safe and honorable for both parties; this award was accepted by Russia and rejected by Turkey; and they then turned round upon their own award, declared it to be "totally inadmissible," and made war upon the very country whose Government, at their suggestion and urgent recommendation, had frankly accepted it. At this moment England is engaged in a murderous warfare with Russia, although the Russian Government accepted her own terms of peace, and has been willing to accept them in the sense of England's own interpretation of them ever since they were offered; and at the same time England is allied with Turkey, whose Government rejected the award of England, and who entered into the war in opposition to the advice of England. Surely, when the Vienna note was accepted by Russia, the Turks should have been prevented from going to war, or should have been allowed to go to war at their own risk.

I have said nothing here of the fact that all these troubles have sprung out of demands made by France upon the Turkish Government, and urged in language more insulting than any which has been shown to have been used by Prince MENSCHIKOFF. I have said nothing of the diplomatic war which has been raging for many years past in Constantinople, and in which England has been behind no other Power in attempting to subject the Porte to foreign influences. I have said nothing of the abundant evidence there is that we are not only at war with Russia, but with all the Christian population of the Turkish Empire, and that we are building up our Eastern policy on a false foundation—namely, on the perpetual maintenance of the most immoral and filthy of all despotisms over one of the fairest portions of the earth which it has desolated, and over a population it has degraded but has not been able to destroy. I have said nothing of the wretched delusion that we are fighting for civilization in supporting the Turk against the Russian and against the subject Christian population of Turkey. I have said nothing about our pretended sacrifices for freedom in this war, in which one great and new dominant ally is a monarch who, last in Europe, struck down a free Constitution, and dispersed by military violence a national Representative Assembly.

My doctrine would have been non-intervention in this case. The danger of the Russian power was a phantom; the necessity of permanently upholding the Mahometan rule in Europe is an absurdity. Our love for civilization, when we subject the Greeks and Christians to the Turks, is a sham; and our sacrifices for freedom, when working out the behests of the Emperor of the French and coaxing Austria to help us, is a pitiful imposture. The evils of non-intervention were remote and vague, and could neither be weighed nor described in any accurate terms. The good we can judge something of already, by estimating the cost of a contrary policy. And what is that cost? War in the north and south of Europe, threatening to involve every country of Europe. Many, perhaps fifty millions sterling, in the course of expenditure by this country alone, to be raised from the taxes of a people whose extrication from ignorance and poverty can only be hoped for from the continuance of peace. The disturbance of trade throughout the world, the derangement of monetary affairs, and difficulties and ruin to thousands of families. Another year of high prices of food, notwithstanding a full harvest in England, chiefly because war interferes with imports, and we have declared our principal foreign food-growers to be our enemies. The loss of human life to an enormous extent. Many thousands of our own countrymen have already perished of pestilence and in the field; and hundreds, perhaps thousands, of English families will be plunged into sorrow, as a part of the penalty to be paid for the folly of the nation and its rulers.

When the time comes for the "inquisition for blood," who shall answer for these things? You have read the tidings from the Crimea; you have perhaps shuddered at the slaughter; you remember the terrific picture,—I speak not of the battle, and the charge, add the tumultuous excitement of the conflict, but of the field after the battle,—Russians in their frenzy, or their terror, shooting Englishmen who would have offered them water to quench their agony of thirst; Englishmen, in swords, rifling the pockets of the men they had slain or wounded, taking their few shillings or roubles, and discovering among the plunder of the stiffening corpses images of the "Virgin and the Child." You have read this, and your imagination has followed the fearful details. This is war,—every crime which human nature can commit or imagine, every horror it can perpetrate or suffer; and this it is which our Christian Government recklessly plunges into, and which so many of our countrymen at this moment think it patriotic to applaud! You must excuse me if I cannot go with you. I will have no part in this terrible crime. My hands shall be unstained with the blood which is being shed. The necessity of maintaining themselves in office may influence an Administration; delusions may mislead a people; VATTEL may afford you a law and a defence; but no respect for men who form a Government, no regard I have for "going with the stream," and no fear of being deemed wanting in patriotism, shall influence me in favor of a policy which, in my conscience, I believe to be as criminal before God as it is destructive of the true interest of my country.

I have only to ask to forgive me for writing so long a letter. You have forced it from me, and I would not have written it did I not so much appreciate your sincerity and your good intentions towards me.

Believe me to be, very sincerely yours, JOHN BRIGHT.

ABSALOM WATKIN, Esq., Manchester."

War between Three Empires with only 100,000 Effective Men.

From the London Times, Sept. 15.

One of the circumstances most likely to surprise an attentive observer of the present war is the comparatively small number of the forces with which it is carried on. Three Empires and a powerful Kingdom are engaged in the strife. The scene of action is within easy reach of all, a prize of extraordinary importance is known to be at stake, and this is but the first year of the war. Nevertheless the contest between these mighty Powers, with their resources as yet unexhausted, is probably conducted with less than 60,000 men on each side. The Russians, we are told, brought 65,000 troops against us in the recent battles before Sebastopol, and, as this force doubtless represented the chief strength of the garrison, in conjunction with that of the relieving army, the estimate is not likely to be much exaggerated. We wish we could speak as confidently of our own numbers, but we have good reason for supposing that our effective force on the 5th of this month could not have exceeded 15,500 bayonets. Allowing 35,000 for the French muster, we shall obtain a total strength of 56,000 in the allied ranks. A certain number of Turkish battalions are to be added, but it does appear as if the Ottoman troops would contribute much to the effective force of the allies until some of OMAR PACHA's veterans are transported to the Crimea.

We may consider, therefore, some 100,000 men as representing the forces of three of the greatest Powers in Europe engaged in deadly strife, and the fact is certainly not a little remarkable. It deserves, however, to be noticed that Russia, though the most embarrassed of all the belligerents, has displayed the greatest energy in reinforcing her army. No sooner was the attack of the allies upon the Crimea definitely declared than reserves were put on march for Sebastopol, and it appears as if every available man and horse had been turned during the last two months towards the Isthmus of Perekop. It is true that the result has fallen far short of what might be anticipated, since the reinforcements received by Prince MENSCHIKOFF do not probably exceed 50,000 men. Doubtless we are deceived on either side, and our enemy thus appears with unfortunately fewer after every successive defeat. The French, too, have dispatched considerable succors to their over-worked army, and our own Baltic fleet will immediately be put into requisition to carry a large body of troops from Toulon to the Crimea. But what have we done ourselves? What is the strength of that army by which Great Britain is represented in a contest for the liberties and security of Europe?

Our whole force at first thrown upon the shores of the Crimea numbered 27,000 men; and, if we make additions for heavy cavalry and other troops afterwards brought up, we may perhaps obtain some 1,500 or 2,000 more. Draughts of the various regiments engaged have since been sent out, but these merely fill up vacancies, and at no time, probably, will the British army under Lord RAGLAN's command exceed 30,000 men. This force we cannot consider commensurate either with the magnitude of the stake at issue, the position of England in the Commonwealth of Europe, or the resources notoriously at our disposal. For the benefit of the reader's judgment, we subjoin a contrast of our proceedings in times gone by. The year 1813 was the 21st year of a great and exhausting war, and during almost the whole of that period there had been a continuous drain upon us to supply the losses of sanguinary campaigns by sea and land. The population of Great Britain at that time was about 13,000,000. Under these circumstances we kept on foot a regular army of 237,000 men, a regular militia of 83,000, a local militia of 269,000, a yeomanry cavalry to the number of 65,000, and sailors and marines to the number of 140,000. With this compare our present position and efforts. The population of Great Britain at this moment exceeds 21,000,000, the national wealth has enormously increased, and we are in the very first year of war. Nevertheless, the first estimates for our army provided only 112,997 regular soldiers for the service of the current year, being an increase of some 10,000 on the peace establishment of 1853; and, though some supplementary estimates were afterwards brought in, the total numbers of our regular forces were only raised, if we remember rightly, to some 130,000 men. Yet every condition now tells in our favor. Ireland certainly is no longer that great nursery of soldiers which it used to be, but then Ireland no longer requires an army of 20,000 men as its own permanent garrison. We have so improved the terms of military service as to make them infinitely more desirable. We have learnt by the most brilliant evidence that the national spirit is in no degree degenerated, and the war approves itself to the sense and judgment of the people as perhaps no war ever did before. Why, then, should we starve it, or risk the chance of striking a decisive blow while such blow can be struck?

Our correspondence from the Crimea gives a vivid and impressive picture of the fatigues and privations to which our overtasked army is subjected. And let no one imagine that these sufferings are anything out of course, or to be regarded as extraordinary incidents against which no provision could be made. They are the natural and necessary results of our insufficient strength. The reader perhaps may like to know what the rules of military science dictate in such cases. According to these established maxims, the number of men on duty in the trenches before a besieged town should always equal three-fourths of the strength of the garrison, and there should be at least three "relieds" of this number—that is to say, each guard of the trenches should be on duty eight hours only out of the 24, being relieved by a fresh guard at the expiration of that time. But, besides the duties in the trenches, there are the regular duties of the army, such as picket, camp, escort duty, &c. For these a number of men equal to about one-tenth of the whole army is required, and they should have four reliefs. Now, if we estimate the garrison of Sebastopol at 40,000, three-fourths of this number would give 30,000 as the guard of the trenches, and this at three reliefs would be 90,000. One-tenth of 90,000 for army duties would be 9,000, which, at four reliefs, gives 36,000; so that, without any reference to LIPRANDI's army, the besieging force before Sebastopol ought to muster 126,000 men, whereas it is doubtful if *half* that number could be produced. The consequences we read in our communications from the spot. Officers and men together are fairly worn out with incessant and excessive work. Owing to the impossibility of giving proper relief the soldiers are on duty far longer and more frequently than they should be, and the drain upon their strength is in proportion to the toil. It should be remembered, too, that calculations applying to an ordinary siege fall considerably short of what is wanted at Sebastopol, where there is a powerful relieving army in the field, and where even the troops off duty are harassed by continual alarms from the enemy. The intelligence just reported is sufficient to prove that the immense energy of the French and the unconquerable firmness of the British can made head even against all these disadvantages, and find at any hazard a road to victory; but it is not the part of a wise Government or a sympathizing nation to permit such things to continue. The Emperor NICHOLAS sets a different example. It is clear from our latest communications that the very best troops of the Russian army are assembling in Sebastopol, and that all the available strength of the empire will be concentrated on this point of contest. Let us take a lesson, then, from our enemy, and meet him, as we can do, on his own territory, with equal resources and superior spirit.

Whose was the Fault?

Upon this question a London journal thus pronounces:

Lord RAGLAN says in his dispatch: "I directed the cavalry, supported by the fourth division, under Lieutenant-General Sir GEORGE CATHCART, to move forward and take advantage of any opportunity to regain the heights; and not having been able to accomplish this immediately, and it appearing that an attempt was making to remove the captured guns, the Earl of LUCAN was desired to advance rapidly, follow the enemy in their retreat, and try to prevent them from effecting their objects." An order was given, in the first place, by the commander-in-chief for the cavalry to advance, supported by the fourth division. The movement of this combined force appearing slow, an order was given in the second place, also by the commander-in-chief, for the cavalry to "advance rapidly;" that is, without waiting for the infantry. What alternative was left to Lord LUCAN? He had only to obey. He could only understand that he was to "attack at all hazards." He did not misconceive the order. As for the Earl of CARDIGAN, a rumor that he has been suspended by Lord RAGLAN for rash conduct on the 25th, which has reached Paris, is obviously unworthy of credit. Lord CARDIGAN only carried out, with characteristic valor, the orders which Lord LUCAN gave him by order of the commander-in-chief. A very base attempt is being made to stifle inquiry into this matter by laying the blame on the late Captain NOLAN, who carried the order to Lord LUCAN. Dead men cannot defend themselves; and this fact seems to have suggested the idea of casting the blame on a dead and voiceless man in order that the survivors might have no temptation to recriminate on each other. But the very person who hints—for he ventures to do no more—that Captain NOLAN was the culprit disproves his own imputation. He states that the order conveyed by Captain NOLAN was in writing. That gallant and lamented officer, therefore, cannot possibly have been to blame.

We suspect the secret of the lamentable blunder has been hit upon in the following communication, which we have received from a gallant veteran, a scientific soldier: "Cavalry never comes to good under an infantry General. Infantry Generals do not know what it ought to do and what not. The 14th Dragoons had a misfortune precisely of the same kind in Portugal, through the misdirection of an infantry General, where it lost its Colonel (TALBOT) killed; the Major (HARVEY,) afterwards Colonel, lost his arm; and a long list of killed and wounded, with no results." Our correspondent adds: "What a pity nobody ever dreamed of sending out a veteran commander of the cavalry. Knowledge and coolness are more wanted at the head of that department than any other, in proportion as its movements are more lively and hazardous."

A gallant officer, who writes from General Sir LACY EVANS' camp, appears to be of our correspondent's opinion. He says: "For our unfortunate light dragoons the affair was Rammugger on a large scale."

Mr. Charles Kemble.

From the London Times.

Though several years have now elapsed since Mr. CHARLES KEMBLE's appearance on the stage, his death will be considered an important event, even by those who have not had the great advantage of witnessing his admirable performances. To the young playgoer, the name will be therefore as that of the last historic actor of a family which was for thirty years associated with all that was high and dignified in theatrical art. But in those of longer experience, the record of the melancholy event will awaken a succession of bygone days, when the actress who closed his earthly career on Sunday last, stood as the representative of a class of characters upon the stage, in which, according to the opinion even of the oldest judges, he was never excelled. The gay, buoyant, spirited gentleman of high breeding, who was such a constant stage beau years ago, was so completely identified with Mr. CHARLES KEMBLE, that since his retirement from the profession the character itself has almost sunk into oblivion.

Mr. CHARLES KEMBLE was born in November, 1775—the same year in which his illustrious sister, Mrs. SIDDONS, made her first appearance at Drury-lane—and at the age of 14 was sent to the College of Douay, where his brother JOHN KEMBLE, had studied twelve years before. In the Winter of 1792-3 he made his first recorded appearance at the Sheffield Theatre as *Orlando* in "As You Like It," and in April, 1794, through the interest of his brother JOHN, made his debut at Drury-lane as *Macduff* in "Macbeth." It is no discredit to his memory that during the earlier part of his career he was considered what, in popular criticism, is termed a "regular stick," for the fact that he was so considered proves that in attaining to the high eminence he afterwards attained he had to conquer a difficulty often insurmountable—an unfavorable impression. If he began at the bottom of the ladder, his whole career was one of improvement, and if slighted in 1794, he was regarded thirty years afterwards as one of the brightest ornaments of the theatrical profession.

When Mr. JOHN KEMBLE purchased a share of Covent-garden Theatre in 1803, Mrs. SIDDONS and Mr. CHARLES KEMBLE both became members of that company. To Covent-garden Mr. CHARLES KEMBLE remained attached for a long series of years, though he occasionally fulfilled engagements elsewhere; and he subsequently possessed the share originally held by his brother. With his retirement from the management of that theatre, more than 20 years ago, began the decline of the "large house" as a national establishment, although a temporary resuscitation was effected by the management of Mr. MACREADY and Madame VESTRIS. It was while Covent-garden was under the direction of Madame VESTRIS, that Mr. CHARLES KEMBLE, after a long retirement, reappeared before the public for a limited series of nights, and played several of his favorite characters, including *Don Felix*, *Mercutio*, and *Hamlet*. Except in a series of readings, he has never been seen by any audience since that occasion, but almost to the last day of his life he was well known in private society as one of the most observed and intelligent of companions. His flow of spirits was the most remarkable, as for the last few years he suffered so severely from deafness that without the aid of a trumpet he could not hear a single word of the conversation around him. This affliction caused him at times to wear a melancholy appearance, that contrasted strangely with the gaiety which perhaps his own hospitality had occasioned, but the cloud was only transient, and if any congenial spirit spoke to him of the "good old times," his eye would brighten, his voice would grow eloquent, and he would pour forth a flood of anecdote, reminiscence, and criticism, most entertaining and instructive to all present. To the chosen few who have known him in society his death will be an irreparable loss.

Though Mr. CHARLES KEMBLE played tragedy as well as comedy, it is with the higher class of comedy that his name is chiefly associated. His excellence is thus forcibly described by a gentleman who had an opportunity of comparing him with early actors who are almost myths to the present generation. "I never," says Mr. ROGERS, in his *Old Playgoer*, "saw an actor with more buoyancy of spirits than CHARLES KEMBLE; LEWIS had wonderful vivacity, airiness, and sparkle, but he was *stunning* compared with CHARLES. Who ever played a drunken gentleman as he did? His efforts to pick up his dress hat in *Charles Oakley*, were the most laughable, the most ridiculous, the most natural that can be imagined. I have seen him perform the character of *Peter Teck*, in a dramatic version of Mr. PEACOCK's "Maid Marian," with such an extraordinary abandonment and gusto, that you were forced back to the 'jolly green wood and the bonny forest bramble;' he absolutely rollicked through the part, as if he had lived all his life with Robin and his merry men, quaffing fat ale and devouring venison pasties. But, perhaps, his masterpiece in this way was *Cassio*—the insidious creeping of the 'devil' upon his senses; the hilarity of intoxication, the tongue cleaving to the roof of the mouth, and the lips glued together; the confusion, the state of *loss of self*, if I may so term it, when he received the attack of *Othello*, and the wonderful truthfulness of his getting sober, were beyond description fine—nay, real. No drunken scene I ever saw on a stage was comparable to it." "In parts of gallant spirited bearing," says the same writer in another place, "just hitting the difficult mark—noble, without theatre; self-possessed, without apparent effort; energetic, without bombast; elegant, without conceit—CHARLES KEMBLE has never in my time been equalled."

Riot in an English University—Fight Between the Undergraduates and the Police.

From the London Daily News.

On Friday night, a gentleman essayed to give a lecture against the use of tobacco, in the Town Hall at Cambridge. The room was crammed long before he commenced, most of those present being gownsmen, provided with pipes and cigars, and with their pockets the depositories of squibs, crackers and fireworks of various descriptions.

The lecturer had not proceeded far when he was interrupted by cries of "Three cheers for Sir WALTER RALEIGH," and becoming angered at last with the increasing disorder, he somewhat injudiciously said that he had expected, on coming to a University town, to address gentlemen, and not a lot of blackguards. After this, the noise and confusion rendered hearing what he said out of the question. Pipes and cigars were set alight in all directions, and a number of persons who entered the gallery (which had been closed to the undergraduates) were saluted with a shower of squibs and crackers.

At this juncture Mr. C. E. BROWN, an active borough magistrate, arrived at the Hall, and seeing that a riot was inevitable, dispatched messengers for the police, and himself went for the Mayor, (Mr. BEWLEY,) with whom he soon afterwards appeared on the platform by the side of the lecturer. The place by this time was a perfect Babel, and the Mayor, after repeated inefficacious attempts to obtain a hearing, declared the meeting dissolved, and the lecturer then retired into the Aldermen's parlor. The gownsmen immediately stormed the platform and proposed an amendment, "That tobacco was anything but pernicious."

It is probable that all might yet have passed off, with no worse result than the noise and uproar, but for the silly action of an undergraduate. He was standing near a seat, the back of which was partially broken; he splintered a piece off, and commenced belabouring the rest of the form with it, with the view of breaking it up. Mr. BROWN, who was near him with JAGGERS, the Superintendent of Police, expostulated with him, but he retorted in desist, and Mr. BROWN then demanded his name and college. The gownsman refused to give it, and as he continued his work of demolition, JAGGARS collared him; he immediately struck out, and raised the shout of "Gown." A rush of all the gownsmen was immediately made, and the silly fellow was borne off in triumph, amid the crash of seats and the loud hurrahs of the undergraduates. The whole of the police force then by this time arrived, and the affair soon ended in a complete rout. A gownsman attempted a rescue, but was forced by collaring him; another gownsman attempted, and a desperate fight ensued, the police using their staves freely, and succeeding in making many prisoners, whom they lodged in the old sessions room just as Rev. Mr. ESTAGEMOR, of Trinity College, the senior proctor, arrived. On the arrival of Mr. ESTAGEMOR, the prisoners capitulated, and having given their names and colleges, were allowed to proceed to the lodgings of the latter for anything but an enviable night. An investigation into their conduct and that of others who were most forward in the affair is to take place as soon as sufficient time has elapsed after the 5th of November to allow feelings of irritation to subside.

On Saturday night, notwithstanding that the proctors, assisted by a number of masters of arts, paraded the town, several disturbances took place with the townsmen, and it is feared that the Friday night's affair will produce a fearful town-and-gown riot on the 6th inst., the day for the celebration of the gunpowder plot.

THE REFORM CHURCHES IN PHILADELPHIA.—The Philadelphia correspondent of the Boston *Zion's Herald* says the statistics of the 42 Reform Churches connected with this body have just been published in a neat form; 677 persons have been baptized within one year, upon a profession of their faith in Christ, and 449 have been received by letter. The total membership is 11,628.

A NEW ISSUE IN THE MRS. ROBINSON CASE.—We are advised that this murder

NEW-YORK CITY.

TRIAL FOR DIVORCE.

Childs vs. Childs, vs. Solomon Childs.

SUPREME COURT—CIRCUIT.

Before the Hon. John Edwards.

SECOND DAY.

The trial of this case was resumed yesterday morning at 10 o'clock.

Mrs. Childs, the plaintiff in the case, was present in the Court, accompanied by a lady friend.

The counsel for the plaintiff introduced in evidence the following

DEPOSITION OF ALEXANDER DELZELL.

Examination of Alexander Delzell, a witness for the plaintiff, taken de bene esse, by virtue of an order made by his Honor J. W. Edmunds on the 24 day of August, 1854.

City and County of New-York ss.—Alexander Delzell, being sworn, said:

Question—Where do you reside? Answer—City of Cincinnati, State of Ohio.

Q.—What is your business? A.—I have been a police officer in the city of Cincinnati for the last thirteen years; I am now in the Criminal Court as Court Constable.

Q.—Do you know Solomon Childs, the defendant in this suit? A.—I do.

Q.—How long have you been acquainted with him? A.—I think between three and four years.

Q.—Are you acquainted with a woman by the name of Belle Forrest? A.—I am; I arrested a woman by the name of Belle Forrest in Cincinnati, and went by that name.

Q.—How long have you known her? A.—Six or seven years, probably, or more.

Q.—Where did she reside within the last three years? A.—She resided in one side of Western row, a few doors north of Fourth-street, between Fourth and Fifth-streets, the side of the house being upon an alley, called Ferrymaid's Lane.

Q.—Did she keep house there? A.—She had the reputation of being the landlady of the house.

Q.—What was the character of that house? (Objected to by defendant's counsel.) A.—It was a house of ill-fame.

Q.—Did you ever visit that house? A.—I have been in it frequently.

Q.—Did you ever meet any one there, and, if so, who? A.—I have met a great many.

Q.—Can you name any of the persons you have met there? A.—I decline answering that question; if you wish any particular one, I will answer.

Q.—Did you ever meet or see the defendant, Solomon Childs, at that house, and, if so, under what circumstances did you see him? (Objected to by leading; allowed; exception taken.) A.—I have met him there, Sir; he appeared to be intimate with the inmates of the house—the ladies.

Q.—Did you ever see him there on more than one occasion? (Objected to on same ground; same exception.) A.—I think I have seen him there more than once; several times; but I cannot swear positively more than one time.

Q.—When did you see him there at that house? A.—Some time in the Fall of 1851—either September, October or November 1851.

Q.—Have you the means of fixing the date of the circumstance of meeting the defendant at the house of Belle Forrest? A.—I have no means of fixing the precise date; I know it was in the Fall; I knew I have met him there, and I was acquainted with him; knowing him as well as I did, I could not be mistaken.

Q.—In what part of the house did you see Solomon Childs at the time you refer to? A.—In the front and second rooms of the front floor.

Q.—What did he appear to be doing at that house? A.—He appeared to be intimate with the ladies; I have never seen him have carnal connection with any of them; I should by intimate that he was conversing with the females of the house, and appeared to be otherwise intimate.

Q.—What time of the day was this? A.—About 9 or 10 o'clock in the evening; I can't tell as to the hours.

Q.—How many females were there in the room at the time, as near as you can recollect? A.—Four or five, or probably more; they were in and out all the time.

Q.—Do you recollect the names of any of the females in the room at the time the defendant was there? A.—Belle Forrest was there; Suey Smith, I think, was there and Emily Moore I think was there; that is about all I can recollect; I can't swear positively to any of the others; Josephine Bates probably was there; I am not sure.

Q.—What was the public character of the house kept by Belle Forrest referred to by you? A.—It was a house of ill fame.

Q.—Was there any wine or spirituous liquors drank at the house on the evening referred to by you when the defendant was there? A.—I think there was; I think I drank with him and the ladies.

Cross-examination by defendant's Counsel.—Q.—How long have you been in this City? A.—I have been here but a few days; I arrived here on Saturday.

Q.—How long are you going to remain? A.—I think of starting from here the latter part of this week.

Q.—Were you regularly appointed a Constable of the Criminal Court of Cincinnati? A.—I was.

Q.—Did you come on to this City at the request of the plaintiff, or at the request of any one in her behalf; and if so, whom? And give his or her name. A.—No, Sir, I did not; I met one of the brothers of the plaintiff in the cars coming east, and we traveled on together; I had been acquainted with him previously; I believe his first name was S. L.

Q.—Have you ever been spoken to in reference to this case; and, if so, by whom? A.—He told me after I met him that he would probably want to use me in New-York in reference to this case; he asked me if I was subpœnaed; I said I believe I have, and I told him if I was subpœnaed I would come.

Q.—Did not the said S. L. Simpson with whom you come on, pay your passage to the City? A.—He did not, Sir.

Q.—Was not the fact of your examination as a witness in this cause, the reason and inducement of your coming on to this City? A.—It was not; I intended to come here to the fair at the Crystal Palace, and other business.

Q.—Have you got the subpœna ticket with you? A.—I have. (It is produced.)

Q.—Were you ever introduced to the defendant Solomon Childs? A.—I was.

Q.—When? A.—I don't recollect when; three or four years ago; I cannot recollect fully; I can't testify positively, it might be three years; I think William his brother introduced me to the first place.

Q.—Are you acquainted with Solomon Childs' brothers? A.—I know three of his brothers.

Q.—Did you meet Mr. S. Lamoreaux, the plaintiff's counsel, in Cincinnati? A.—I think I was introduced to him in Cincinnati.

Q.—Who introduced you to him? A.—I think it was Wm. R. King, Jr.

Q.—Who is this Wm. R. King, Jr.? A.—He is a gentleman.

Q.—What is his business, and in what street does the said Wm. R. King, Jr., reside? A.—When he was in the city of Cincinnati he put up at the Gibson House; he was a banker, merchant I think, of the firm of King & Smith.

Q.—For what purpose was Mr. Lamoreaux introduced to you? A.—To take a little high drink.

Q.—Did not Mr. Lamoreaux, the plaintiff's attorney, converse with you in reference to this case? A.—No, Sir, I have never conversed with him on this subject in Cincinnati, and he did not ask me what I knew about this case.

Q.—Did not Mr. S. L. Simpson converse with you in reference to the case in Cincinnati before you started for this City? A.—He did, Sir.

Q.—How long before? A.—Some four or five months before.

Q.—What did he say, as near as you can recollect? A.—I don't tell you exactly; he entreated me to tell what I knew of the affair.

Q.—What were you doing at Belle Forrest's house on the evening in question? A.—Being an officer, I was in the habit of going in and out there together with other females of the same character, sometimes on business and sometimes on no business.

Q.—On the occasion referred to, was there any branch of the house or unlawful disturbance at the said Belle Forrest's house? A.—I think not; I am not positive as to that.

Q.—Were you on duty that night? A.—I was on duty all the time; constables are always on duty, except when sick or absent.

Q.—In the part of constables' duty to visit houses of ill-fame, when no branch of the peace is therein committed? A.—I cannot say that it is; the constables there get the most of their information at those houses; if any larceny, robberies, &c., take place we generally think we get first our scenting of it there, or burglaries, or any misdemeanor we try and get information from such source.

Q.—Was it your custom to remark at such times the individuals in the house? A.—Most undoubtedly it was.

Q.—Could it not have been that the person you saw in one of the brothers of the defendant, Solomon Childs, and not himself? A.—It could not, Sir, for I knew them most separately, and apart distinctly; I could not be mistaken.

Q.—Was there any other gentlemen with him or in the parlor? A.—There were others in the house and parlor, going in and out; I don't know that they were in company with him.

Q.—How do you know that this occurred in the Fall of 1851? A.—The only way I know or that I know can give answer; I went to the house of Belle Forrest on Saturday; I was in the habit of going there every day one and sometimes in the evening.

Q.—Did you leave the house of Belle Forrest on that evening company or with the said Solomon Childs? A.—I do not know; I think I left him there.

Q.—In what month of Fall 1851 did you see him there? A.—I think it was September, October, or November; I was away in December or the same year.

Q.—Are you married and a permanent resident of Cincinnati, and are you now a police officer in the Criminal Court of Cincinnati, or will you be such at the opening in September next? A.—I am married; I have been; I am a permanent resident; I expect to go to work as officer in the Criminal Court in September next.

Q.—How do you know that the house kept by Belle Forrest was a house of ill-fame? A.—I know it from the character of the inmates of the house; from the character of the house, and from Belle Forrest.

Q.—Were the character of the inmates, boarders, and of Belle Forrest, good or bad? A.—So far as my acquaintance, she is a very good woman for a woman of that character; I have had great power of observation very keen indeed, when out of my sight.

Q.—What the character of Belle Forrest, at that time good or bad? A.—As I stated before, she was quite a clever woman for a woman of her character.

Q.—Was the said Belle Forrest's reputation for generosity, a good one? A.—As much so as any other female of her profession; she was considered a very generous woman among her sisters.

Q.—Did you see the said Belle Forrest on Saturday evening in question? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Did you see the said Belle Forrest on Saturday while in company with her? A.—I have had business officially with her; she has always paid me officially or otherwise.

Q.—What dealings officially have you had with her? A.—A man by the name of Chase, Reader sued her for a small grocery bill; obtained judgment against her; the execution was placed in my hands against her; I believe that is the only claim in the shape of an execution I had against her.

Q.—Have you ever had any criminal process against her? A.—I never had.

Q.—Were you in the habit of drinking wine when you visited the said Belle Forrest's house? A.—Occasionally; I always drank when I was dry.

Q.—Where did Solomon Childs reside at the time you saw him at the house of Belle Forrest? A.—I cannot say; I believe he boarded: I used to see him at the store very often.

Q.—Did he keep store at that time? A.—I don't know; it was Childs & Brothers I think; I think that was the name of the firm.

Q.—Was he, Solomon Childs, married at that time? A.—I only know that he was from hearsay.

Q.—Were you what is called a watchman, that is, did you have a particular beat or district to patrol? A.—No, Sir; I had the whole city, and the jurisdiction of my office extended over the whole city.

Q.—Were you a civil officer or not? A.—Civil and criminal both.

Q.—Did you ever see William Childs at the house of the said Belle Forrest? A.—I think I have seen William there also.

Q.—Did you go out that evening alone to the house of Belle Forrest? A.—I think I did; I had an appointment with a friend; I gave him a ticket, a memorandum of the place.

Q.—Did you meet S. L. Simpson at that house on the evening in question? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Have you ever been employed by S. L. Simpson to procure or obtain testimony for the plaintiff in this cause? A.—After my first interrogatory to Mr. Simpson he made his business known to me; he wished me to get him any information I could, and I told him I would do so cheerfully; he also remarked, that any trouble or expense I should be put to he would see it paid.

Q.—Have you assisted him in any way in procuring witnesses or testimony for the plaintiff herein? A.—I don't know that I have assisted the plaintiff, through him; I have got some information relative to this case which I gave to Mr. Simpson's counsel in Cincinnati.

Q.—What is the name of Mr. Simpson's counsel in Cincinnati? A.—Taft & Matson are one of them; A. J. Pruden is another one. I have conversed with him, and given the information to Mr. Pruden, and gave him the names of the parties and what they know.

Q.—Has Mr. Simpson or any one else paid you for your trouble in this case? *A.—They have not; I owe* Mr. Simpson some money.

Q.—Have you made no any bill for your services in this case? A.—I have not.

Q.—Do you intend to charge for your services in this case? A.—I do not intend to make any charge in procuring testimony in this case; if he has a mind to pay me for any services in this matter, I would take it.

Q.—Do you expect any remuneration for your services in this case? A.—I have no other expectation than Mr. Simpson told me that he would pay me for any expense I should be put to, in procuring testimony, and also for my trouble.

Q.—Have you received any money, bills or notes from Mr. Simpson, on account of services rendered by you in this case? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Did you see Belle Forrest in Cincinnati previous to her departure therefrom? A.—I did.

Q.—Did you or she converse with reference to this case? A.—I never did change a word with her in reference to this case.

Q.—Have you ever conversed with Black-eyed Jo, in reference to this case—a former tenants of Belle Forrest's house? A.—I have.

Q.—Have you ever conversed with Emily Moore in reference to this case? A.—I think I have; I went with Mr. Pruden one day, to show him where she lived.

Q.—Have you ever conversed with Alice Grey, an inmate of said Belle Forrest's house, in reference to this case? A.—Can't say that I have; likely I have; don't know her by names.

Q.—Have you ever spoken to Josephine or Suey Smith, in reference to this case? A.—Never to Suey Smith; I think I have to Josephine; but not certain.

Q.—Have you handed to Mr. Pruden or Mr. Simpson the names of Emily Moore, Josephine and Black-eyed Jo, as witnesses in this cause? A.—I never did; I never handed any names.

Q.—Did you mention their names to any one? A.—I think I gave the information of what they would testify to, to Mr. Pruden.

Q.—Did you salute, shake hands with, or talk to Mr. Solomon Childs, on the evening you met him at Belle Forrest's? A.—I think we spoke; I have no doubt we did speak; pretty sure of it.

Q.—What did you speak about? A.—Nothing more than pass the time of evening; if Solomon Childs was here he would not deny it.

Q.—Have you ever been with S. L. Simpson to any known house of ill-fame to endeavor to procure testimony to be given in this case? A.—I have went with him to some houses and he generally did the talking; the purport of his conversation I don't know.

Q.—Were these houses known as houses of ill-fame? A.—Some of them were level houses.

Q.—Has Mr. S. L. Simpson ever lent you any money? A.—I owe him some money.

Q.—Is the said S. L. Simpson a brother of the plaintiff in this action? A.—I do not know, Sir.

Q.—Have you ever been introduced to the brothers of the said S. L. Simpson? A.—He has introduced me to two of his brothers, I believe.

Q.—Did you see, and do you not know that the plaintiff's name was formerly Miss Simpson? A.—I don't know only from hearsay; I believe I heard L. Simpson told me that Solomon Childs married his sister.

Q.—Did not Samuel Simpson tell you in Cincinnati that his sister had commenced a suit of divorce against the said Solomon Childs? A.—I think he told me they were about to bring a suit of separation between his sister and Solomon Childs; the first time I saw him he told me his business was to get testimony.

Q.—Did you visit Belle Forrest's house frequently? A.—I have, frequently.

Direct resumed.—Q.—Have you been paid or has there been any agreement by S. L. Simpson or the plaintiff, or any person on her behalf, to pay you money for testimony in this action as a witness? A.—No further than I have stated; I have not received anything on this account.

Q.—Have you ever arrested the defendant, Solomon Childs, on a criminal warrant, and if so when? (The defendant's counsel objected to this question.) A.—There was a *capias* issued by the Criminal Court which I carried some time; I went to the store two or three times; he was not in at other time, I then handed the *capias* to Judge Flynn's brother, who arrested him and brought him into Court.

Q.—Did you see him there while under arrest? (Objected to.) A.—I did.

Q.—Have you ever arrested William Childs, the brother of Solomon Childs? (Objected to.) A.—I have.

Q.—Did you make known to any person the information you were possessed of in relation to what you have testified to in the action, before you were requested to obtain information in this case? A.—I think not, Sir; I have since conversed with Samuel.

Q.—When do you intend to leave the City? A.—I think the day after to-morrow.

Cross-examination resumed.—Q.—What was the nature of the charge on which you endeavored to arrest Solomon Childs? A.—For tampering with a witness who was the prosecuting witness for the State against William Childs; he was charged with poisoning or drugging the witness, and tampering with him.

Q.—Was Solomon Childs convicted of the alleged offence? A.—The charge still stands against him untried.

Q.—What was the nature of the charge against his brother, William Childs? A.—For shooting with intent to kill.

Q.—Was he acquitted or convicted? A.—He was not convicted with the intent to kill; ten of the Jurors were in favor of conviction, and two of them disagreed.

Q.—Was William Childs convicted of any charge or offence whatever? A.—He was not convicted by the Jury, the record will show.

(Signed,) A. DELZELL.

Sworn to this 24 day of August, 1854.

(Signed,) J. W. EDMUNDS, Judge.

I hereby certify, that Alexander Delzell, a witness within named, was examined before me, and that he was duly sworn before said deposition was taken, and said deposition was carefully read to said witness and subscribed by him, and that E. R. Lamoreaux attended on said examination as counsel for plaintiff, and H. H. Morange as counsel for defendant.

(Signed,) J. W. EDMUNDS, Judge.

The counsel for the plaintiff then introduced Mr. Solomon L. Simpson, a brother of the plaintiff, as a witness in the case.

Mr. Jordon insisted that inasmuch as the witness was a Jew, he should be sworn according to the Jewish custom. The witness stated that he considered an affirmation binding upon his conscience, which the Court regarded as sufficient reason for overruling the objection of the counsel to the witness being allowed to affirm.

The witness testified in substance as follows: I am a brother of the plaintiff in this action, and reside at No. 69 Prince-street; my father is Lazack H. Simpson, an importer and dealer in wines and brandies, No. 11 Beaver-street; my father has resided in this City to my knowledge for over 30 years; the plaintiff in this action is younger than myself, and is about 28 or 32 years of age; she resided up to the date of her marriage with my parents; she was married in March, 1850; after her marriage she resided in this City; I knew the defendant in this action some two or three years before his marriage, to speak to him; I only know his six or seven months prior to that event; my sister, I think, knew him about the same length of time; he resided in New-York before and after his marriage; his place of business, I think, was in South Williamsburgh; he was the purchasing partner in this City of the firm of Childs Brothers, Cincinnati; immediately after marriage the defendant and his wife resided in Houston-street, between Mercer and Greene, with Mrs. Phillips; they remained there, I think, in the neighborhood of 6 or 8 weeks; they then came to my father's house to reside; they remained, I think, from six to six weeks; they then went to Cincinnati, Ohio; the defendant stated at the time that he was going for the purpose of introducing his wife to his family, and that he was expected to be gone about two weeks; I think he was absent from City six or six weeks; his wife accompanied him; after their return they resided again at my father's house; I think until the month of October—a period of from four to six weeks; when he went from the City for his wife at my father's; he stated that his object in going away was to do some collecting in the West; he said he should be gone about two weeks; he said his wife was to remain in the meantime at my father's house; he stated that on his return he was going to commence housekeeping at No. 126 West Seventeenth-street in this City; he had completed arrangements for that purpose prior to leaving; the house that he had contracted to take was the one then occupied by my father; I believe he also purchased some furniture prior to leaving for Cincinnati; the furniture was left with my sister who has had possession of it ever since, or at least a portion of it; the defendant has not returned to this City that I am aware of, since his departure in 1850; since the marriage of my sister, I have been most of the time in this City; in January last I left for Europe and returned in September following; I have been absent at different times from the City on tours of business for the firm of L. H. Simpson & Bros., occupying from four to six weeks; when I have been in the City I have lived with my father; a child was born of the marriage between the plaintiff and defendant in this action, in June, 1851; its name is Henry Washington Childs; since the departure of defendant my sister has resided continually at my father's, except when my father was absent in Europe; during his absence she resided with my brother, Lazack H. Simpson, Jr., in Lispenard-st.; this I think was between the latter end of 1852, and May or June, 1853; since the departure of Mr. Childs, in October, 1850, I have been in Cincinnati several times.

Q.—Have you seen defendant there? A.—Yes.

Q.—To speak to him? A.—No, Sir.

Q.—Did he see you? A.—I believe he did; I have no doubt he did.

Q.—Did he recognize you? A.—No, Sir; he always avoided me if any thing.

Q.—Did you know the house of "Belle Forrest" on Western-row, Cincinnati? A.—I did.

Q.—Was you ever in that house? A.—I was.

Q.—When? A.—In the Fall of 1851; I think in October or November.

Q.—Was you in her house subsequently? A.—Yes, Sir; in my first or second visit afterwards; I think in the latter part of 1852 or the commencement of 1853.

Q.—Did you ever see the defendant in that house? A.—Yes, Sir; in my first visit, in the Fall of 1851.

Mr. Graham.—Be kind enough to state the circumstances under which you saw him there.

Witness.—I arrived in Cincinnati about 9½ o'clock in the evening; I went to the house of my uncle and left my baggage, and then proceeded up Fourth-street, Cincinnati, when I unexpectedly saw Solomon Childs advancing towards me some half or three-quarters of a block distant; on further reflection, however, I do not think he was distant more than a quarter of a *block—at any rate, he was sufficiently near for me to distinguish him*; he has a peculiar walk, by which I was able to recognize him; immediately on seeing him coming towards me, I crossed the street, and stood in the shade of a tree box until he passed; I saw him turn the corner of Fourth-street into Western-row; I then started after him, but on reaching the corner I found that he had disappeared; the house of Belle Forrest being near to the corner I thought it probable that he had gone in there; this induced me to go in the house also; I then went in a small alley to the side gate of the house; and knocked; after standing a little while it was opened by Belle Forrest; she did not wish to admit me; she asked me who I wanted to see; I told her I wanted to see a girl.

Mr. Jordan (to witness)—State what you did.

Witness—I got in the house; I was taken into a back room, a sort of kitchen on the first floor, and from thence into a small bedroom, situated between the kitchen and parlor; there was a door between the bedroom and the parlor; it was sufficiently open for me to hear voices in the parlor and to see light; I looked through the crack of the door and saw there the defendant in this action seated on a settee, sofa, or lounge; there was a lady seated on either side of him; I was then obliged by Miss Forrest to leave the door, after which I left the house.

Q.—What was defendant doing on the sofa? A.—He was talking to the ladies, but not loud enough for me to hear their words.

Cross-examined by Mr. Jordan.—I know the family of the Childs'; Solomon Childs, I think, has four or five brothers; their names are Jacob, Marcus, William and Joseph; these are all I know by name; Jacob or Marcus is eldest; Solomon I think, is next to them; and next in order are William and Joseph; I think William is five or six years younger than Solomon; Solomon I should judge to be 35 or 40 years of age; I am induced to believe that that is his age from his walk, his manner and his general appearance; Joseph is quite a young man; I should judge about eighteen years of age; the brother next elder than Solomon I should guess is about fifty; I believe he has gray hair; I think this is Marcus; the eldest is pretty much of the same appearance except that I believe his hair is not gray; when I visited Cincinnati in 1851, I did not go to my particular business; I was there but a very short time; I think it was during my father's absence in Europe; after leaving Belle Forrest's that night, I returned to the residence of my uncle, Mr. Samuel M. Pike, about three or four squares distant from Belle Forrest's, in Fourth-street near Plum; he lived on the left hand side of Fourth-street coming from Belle Forrest's; I was in Cincinnati in June, previously to the visit when I went to Belle Forrest's houses; I did not go to her house in June; my uncle's house is about eight minutes ride, by omnibus, from the Railroad Depôt; my uncle's house is rather nearer to the depôt than Belle Forrest's; one can go to Belle Forrest's house and pass my uncle's residence on the way.

Q.—Was the point where you met Mr. Childs, between Belle Forrest's and your uncle's? A.—No, Sir; I had passed by Western-row when I met him; after he passed I turned and followed him; I am not positive whether the streets were lighted or not, though I think they must have been, as there was gas in the city; some of the stores were lighted up; I think there were some small grocery shops in the street where I met Childs, which were lighted up; and I believe there was one on the left-hand corner of Western-row and Fourth-street, as you go from the depôt; Mr. Childs, I think, was dressed in a black or dark clothing; the peculiarity of his walk, by which I recognized him, is that he walks with a crooked leg, and carries one of his arms—the left arm, I think—bent inwards his body; the width of the street at the point where I met him, is about 50 or 60 feet, as near as I can remember; I think the night referred to was either a moonlight or starlight night; Belle Forrest's house, I think, was some six or eight houses in Western-row, from the corner of Fourth-street; when I saw Childs turn the corner, I did not follow him immediately, as I did not wish him to see me; when I was in the small bedroom of Belle Forrest's house, there was no person with me but Belle Forrest herself; I sat down for a moment or two; when I got up from the seat, I approached the door to see if the person whom I sought was in the front room; before leaving my seat Belle Forrest told me to go to the door and look through the opening and see if I could see the girl whom I was seeking; she said that there were gentlemen in the room, and she could not let me go in there.

Q.—At what time did Belle Forrest walk you out of the house? A.—She did not order me out; I went out of my own accord.

Q.—Did she order you away from the door? A.—She did not; there was a light in the parlor, but I do not remember where it stood, or what kind of a light it was; the defendant and the two ladies sat on the settee in such a way that I had a side view of their faces; I had never seen the ladies before, and I do not recollect of having seen them since; I have seen Emily Moore, Josephine Bates, and Miss Cross; I never saw Alice Gray or Rosalie Smith that I know of; neither of the others whom I have mentioned above as knowing were in the front room at the time; there was a front door to the house leading on to a kind of piazza; I am not positive whether a person can enter the front room from the piazza without going through the bedroom or not.

Q.—On the night following your visit to Belle Forrest, where were you? A.—I think I visited the house of Miss Cross, in Fifth-street.

Q.—Was it a house of prostitution? A.—I think so, Sir.

Q.—Did you go there to inquire for a girl? A.—I did.

Q.—Did you find her? A.—I found the girl I wanted, though I was not aware of her being there when I went in.

Q.—Who was she? A.—Miss Josephine Bates.

Q.—Did anybody accompany you on either of those nights? A.—I went alone.

Q.—How did you know where Belle Forrest and Miss Cross' houses were? I was informed of their location by some young men, when I was in that city in June previous.

Q.—How wide was the crack in the door between the bedroom and parlor at Belle Forrest's through which you looked? A.—About as wide as my two fingers; I cannot say whether the door swung out or into the bedroom; the crack through which I looked I think was in the side of the door; the settee was on the right hand side of the room from the door through which I looked; the defendant was alone when I met him in the street.

Q.—How much money did you lend the police officer Delzell? A.—$50, I think.

Q.—Did you take his note? A.—I think I have his due-bill now; I think I lent him the money at the latter end of 1852, or the commencement of 1853; I do not know or say of the year of my family having loaned Delzell money; I first became acquainted with Delzell in Cincinnati; I think, in the commencement of 1853, or the latter part of 1852; I asked him to assist me in getting evidence in this case; I do not recollect of William Childs being arrested in Cincinnati when I was there; I remember of hearing of his being arrested, but do not recollect when it was.

Q.—Do you not know that about the time of Solomon Childs' marriage, the house of Childs Brothers failed in that city? A.—I believe they failed about that time, though I cannot say whether it was before or after the marriage.

Q.—Do you not know whether your sister married a man who had just failed in business? A.—Mr. Childs was a very deceptive man and never told our family of his circumstances; if he had, I think I knew nothing of it at the time.

Q.—Do you not know that when Childs went in October, 1850, to Cincinnati, he went in consequence of having that the house Childs Brothers had stopped payment? A.—If there were the facts he stated differently; I think it was subsequently to the marriage that I heard of the failure of the house; the defendant purchased some bedroom furniture to furnish the bedroom in Houston-street after the marriage; he afterwards bought sofas, chairs and other parlor furniture, and I think they cost money; I saw the articles come into the house, and I heard defendant say that he had bought them; I do not know that Mr. Childs purchased the furniture with money given to him by my father.

Q.—Do you know that your father did not give him the money to buy the furniture with? A.—I have no reason to believe he did not; subsequently the defendant made inquiries to buy a portion of the furniture in my father's house, when he took possession of the house on behalf of his mother, housekeeping; defendant wrote to me to buy some for the house, and to buy the furniture at a fair valuation; the agreement was a verbal one made in my presence; he was to take the house for one year; I do not know that defendant wished his wife to go with him to Cincinnati; I never heard him request her to do so; I do not know that my sister was advised to go or not to go West; I did not know that the defendant resided in Cincinnati after he left this City in October, 1850; I supposed that his residence in Cincinnati was casual, and that he was traveling in Louisville, Missouri, and elsewhere; I supposed his residence to be in New-York, where his wife and family were, and where he was expected back.

Q.—Was not Childs' brothers in business in Cincinnati in 1851? A.—I think that at the time Childs' brothers were carrying on a business ostensibly under the style of Cohen, Gleaser & Co., the Childs were in the store, carrying on the business, all except Solomon whom I understood had been paid $250,000 on account of the firm; my authority for this is the statement of his brothers; on my first trip to the West I went on a tour of pleasure; this was in the Summer of 1850.

Q.—When you went on this trip of pleasure, did you visit any taverns—I mean did you go to see any prostitutes? A.—I object to answer the question.

Q.—In the Fall of 1850 in Cincinnati, did you make any investigation for the purpose of proving Solomon Childs to be guilty of adultery? A.—I did not.

Q.—Do you know of your sister having written or concerned in writing an anonymous letter to William Childs in Cincinnati? A.—I do not; nor do I know of her being aware of such a letter having been written.

Q.—Did you write such a letter? A.—I did not.

On resuming the direct examination, the witness identified the handwriting of five letters as being that of Solomon Childs. Mr. Graham announced that the letters would be produced in evidence.

The Court adjourned to meet this morning at 10 o'clock A. M.

POLITICAL.

Board of County Canvassers.

THIRTEENTH DAY'S SESSION.

The Board of New-York County Canvassers met yesterday, pursuant to adjournment, at the chamber of the Board of Aldermen, City Hall.

At five minutes past 11 o'clock the roll was called, when the following Supervisors answered *to their names: Messrs. Blunt, Woodward, C.* H. Tucker, Voorhis, Boardman, Chauncey, Christy, Ely, (the President,) Mott, and Drake.

The President said that when the Board adjourned on Monday it was canvassing the returns of the Eleventh Ward.

Supervisor Mott asked permission, before the canvass of the returns from the Eleventh Ward was proceeded with, to report the correction of the returns which he had been instructed to lay before the Election Inspectors for that purpose. He then stated that the Inspectors had inserted the number of the Assembly District in one return, and of the Election District in the other, which being approved,

The President said the canvass of the Twenty-first Ward, so far as calling for the returns, is now finished.

Supervisor Boardman then proceeded with the returns from the Eleventh Ward. He reported the correction of the returns sent back yesterday, by changing the name "Ferdinand Wood" to "Fernando Wood."

In the other returns from this Ward, there appeared 233 votes for "R. J. Jemmerson," for Member of Assembly, which were not allowed to Robert J. Jemmerson. The return, on motion of Supervisor Boardman, was ordered to be laid before the Board of Inspectors for the Ninth Election District, for correction, with instructions from the President, that the same be ready for final canvass to-day.

In the Tenth District of the same Ward was a record, in the returns sent to the County Clerk, of 21 votes for the office of State Prison Inspector, to James P. Sanders, which was omitted in the return sent to the County Clerk. This return was also sent back for correction.

Sixty-five votes were reported for John Wheeler, *for Congress*, on the Inspectors' returns sent to the Supervisors from the Tenth Election District, while the return held by the County Clerk recorded only sixty-two. But this difference, making no change in the result of the election, was allowed to pass, and sixty-two votes were recorded.

Other errors, of an unimportant character, were discovered, and the returns containing such errors were ordered to be sent back for immediate correction.

The Eleventh Ward returns having been completed, so far as they were in agreement with each other.

Supervisor Drake proceeded to report the returns from the Twenty-second Ward. In the Fourth District 287 votes were returned for "Israel Seymour," for Governor, which by unanimous consent were recorded for Horatio Seymour. Also, from the same District, 85 votes were returned for "Washington G. Hunt," and it was ordered that the return be laid before the Board of Inspectors for correction, if found to be erroneous, and provided the return be sent in at the succeeding meeting of the Board.

Several other similar supposed errors being discovered, and the returns containing them being ordered back for correction, the returns from the Twenty-second Ward were disposed of, when

Supervisor C. H. Tucker moved that the County Clerk be instructed to inform the members of the Board not now present, that their several returns will be required to be footed up on or before Thursday next, in order to enable the Board to make a final canvass of the votes for each Ward.

The President considered this unnecessary, as sufficient notoriety would be given on the subject without any special notification being sent to each Supervisor, who are expected to know what is their duty.

Supervisor Blunt could see no reason why the canvass could not be completed at the next meeting of the Board if the Supervisors would only be present to foot up their returns.

The President said it is very desirable that the matter should be closed at as early a day as possible. It had been a considerable tax upon his time to be present every day within a few minutes of the period to which they adjourn, but it would be preserving that the Supervisors do not know their duty, to send them a note from the County Clerk to request their attendance.

Supervisor C. H. Tucker then withdrew his motion, stating his only object was to secure the completion of the canvasses as soon as possible.

On motion of Supervisor Drake, at 1½ o'clock the Board adjourned until 11 o'clock this morning.

[All the returns have now been canvassed, and when a few corrections are made and each Supervisor has footed up and signed his respective returns, the final result will be declared.]

THE CITY PAUPERS.

Meeting of the Board of Governors.

The Governors of the Almshouse held a regular meeting in the Rotunda, yesterday afternoon. All the members except Governor Draper (the President) were present. Governor Smith presided.

NO RICE PUDDING FOR THE INMATES OF THE WORKHOUSE.

A proposition (from the Warden of the Workhouse) to appropriate money sufficient to purchase twenty cents of milk—rice pudding for the female residents of the Workhouse gave rise to debate.

The question being taken on the Ayes and Noes, it was lost. Governors Duke and Townsend only voting in the affirmative.

THE WORKSHOP OF THE WORKHOUSE.

A resolution authorizing the workshop for the Workhouse now in course of erection to be raised three stories, gave rise to some debate.

Governor Pinckney opposed the passage of the resolution, but it was finally passed.

PROFESSOR HART'S "ENTERPRISE."

A communication was received from the Corporation Attorney, (in reply,) in reference to the case of Prof. Woodman Hart, charged by the Board of Governors with a violation of the lottery law. The Attorney says that Hart was tried and acquitted upon an order of arrest, by which the Sheriff was required to hold him to bail in the sum of $50,000. After several proceedings a complaint was served, and subsequently an answer put in. The case is therefore now at issue, and so soon as washed on the calendar, may be tried. The Corporation Attorney adds that there has been no discharge by law of the defendant, and therefore if the Sheriff has permitted him to go at large, it is an escape for which he is responsible. A copy of the communication was ordered to be sent to the Sheriff.

A BAY REPRESENTATION.

A communication was received from the Warden of Bellevue Hospital, in reference to the proper mode of exterminating rats, and suggesting the propriety of making an appropriation of $200 to get rid of the rats in the Hospital under his charge. The communication was referred.

The Board then entered into a lengthy and warm discussion on the properties of

SOHRIDAN SOHNAPPS.

Governor Duke, in behalf of the Committee on the City Prisons, (to whom the subject had been referred) reported that the Schnapps potentiated no peculiar qualities, and was not superior in any respect to pure Holland gin, and they could not therefore authorize its use in the Hospitals under charge of the Board.

Governor Wray did not doubt the capabilities of the Committee to rightly determine the value of the Schnidam Schnapps; the gentlemen composing that Committee were, no doubt, acquainted with its properties, but for himself, being entirely unacquainted with the subject, would prefer to have the opinion of a medical board to decide on its *medical* qualities.

Governor Townsend acquiesced in the remarks of Governor Wray.

Governors Duke and Durno advocated the adoption of the report; its reference to another Committee would be disrespectful, they argued, to the gentlemen from whom it emanated.

After some further debate the report was accepted and adopted.

INVITATION TO GOVERNOR SEYMOUR.

A resolution inviting His Excellency, Governor Seymour, to visit during his stay in this City the Institutions under the care of the Department, was unanimously adopted.

A resolution authorizing the publication of proposals for the sale of fat, grease and bones, from the different Prisons, Hospitals, &c., was adopted.

A large amount of other business of local importance was transacted.

The weekly requisitions give the following as a list of the number of persons remaining in the various *institutions for the week ending Nov. 25, 1854:*

Bellevue Hospital, 735; Lunatic Asylum, 542; Almshouse, 1,183; Penitentiary, 530; Penitentiary Hospital, from Penitentiary, 539; Penitentiary Hospital, from Workhouse, 92; Penitentiary Hospital, from Almshouse, 12; Workhouse, 884; Small-pox Hospital, 5; Randall's Island, 911; Randall's Island Hospital, 207; City Prison, 221. Total, 5,651. Increase, 48.

Number remaining Nov. 18, 5,603; Admitted, 942. Total, 6,545.

Died, 22; Discharged, 756; Sent to Penitentiary, 142; Sent to State Prison, 4. Total, 894; 5,651.

Asylum for Aged Indigent Females.

The Asylum for Aged Indigent Females, in Twentieth-street, celebrated its Forty-first Anniversary yesterday.

Rev. Mr. Bigler (Moravian minister) pronounced prayer; after which he read the Annual Report, from which it appeared that during the prevalence of the cholera, not a single case occurred within the walls of the Institution. The whole number of deaths during the past year was 11—exceeding that of the previous year by 5. Yet this number, from an aggregate of 90, proves that death has not made a very great inroad on the members of that charitable home.

The receipts and disbursements have been, to Nov. 1, 1854:

Receipts	$10,146 22
Expenses	6,328 50
Balance on hand	$3,817 72

Rev. Mr. Cutler delivered an address, in which he congratulated the Society on the amount of good performed by it, whereby the warm influences of practical Christianity, as evinced by its members, so eminently shine forth. In the establishment of that House of Refuge he recognized the one spirit of Christianity, in which he saw Christ all through—in the beginning, in the middle, and in the end of the work. Christianity built this Institute—laid its corner-stone. Christianity sends the Isabella Grahams to their work. Her mantle has fallen on the shoulders of more than one matron in the mission of charity. The report just read speaks forcibly. This is God's own asylum for the indigent and poor on earth. Compare the condition of the inmates of this Institution with what it else might be—contrast the poor dingy room of the struggling aged female with such a home as this, and what a pleasing contrast presents itself! Again, to reflect on the lonesome feeling that is sometimes experienced when left without the consolation of our families—here the consolation of friends and religion are met with, and the inmates are sustained by Him who is the real founder of such institutions. As Washington was left childless that a nation might call him Father—so the ladies enlisted in this benevolent cause, are to a certain extent to be considered childless that they may take the helpless and forlorn under their fostering care. He would conclude by saying that it was one of the most orthodox institutions in New-York—inasmuch as it goes in the right way—it does not take coals to Newcastle; it takes them where there is shivering. It does not take to where there is superfluity—but it takes from superfluity to where there is indigence; in a word, it was an asylum founded by females—supported by females—for females.

The following are the officers for the ensuing year:

Mrs. Anne Jarvis, First Directress.

New-York Daily Times.

NEW-YORK, WEDNESDAY, NOV. 29, 1854.

The Times for the Mails.

The DAILY TIMES, (Morning and Evening) is issued every day except Sundays, and sent to subscribers by mail in any part of the country at SIX DOLLARS per annum.

The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES is published on Tuesday and Friday of each week, containing all the reading matter of the Daily, and is sent to subscribers at THREE Dollars per annum.

The WEEKLY TIMES contains a selection from the leading matter of the Daily, and is sent to mail subscribers at TWO Dollars per annum. Two copies will be sent for FIVE Dollars.

Payment in all cases must be made in advance.

The Arctic's Wreck.

The public will see with satisfaction that a number of members of the Bar have taken steps to prompt the District Attorney to a legal investigation of the circumstances attending the loss of the *Arctic*. A meeting was held yesterday, of which a report will be found in another column, at which a Committee was appointed to institute such inquiries and adopt such measures as might be necessary in the premises. We are informed that the District Attorney is also engaged in collecting testimony concerning the affair, which will be laid at the proper time before the Grand Jury.

We are reluctantly compelled to believe that in this attempt to trace this dreadful disaster to its real cause, the authorities and the public will *encounter the decided opposition of the owners and managers of the line to* which the *Arctic* belonged. We have reason to know that the managers were anxious at the outset to prevent the publication of the details of the catastrophe. And we have been informed, upon good authority, that Mr. COLLINS himself *has dismissed the gallant* DORIAN *from the service*, and denied him the usual certificate of good conduct and character,—not upon any charge of neglect of duty, but because he stated facts about the wreck which the owners of the line did not wish to have made public. This is certainly most extraordinary conduct on the part of Mr. COLLINS, and yet we are assured that it is perfectly true.

It will be remembered that in the first statement made of the wreck,—that given by Mr. BURNS, the noble behavior of Mr. DORIAN in remaining with the ship after every other officer had left,—in constructing the raft, in finally picking up survivors after the ship had sunk, was mentioned in such terms as it deserved. The next day Captain WEST sent for DORIAN to the *Atlantic*, and after greeting him in the most cordial manner, told him that when he went to sea again, he must go with him. Mr. COLLINS also greeted him with congratulations and commendations of his good conduct, and desired him still to remain in the service. He agreed to do so, and awaited the return of the *Atlantic*. About a week since he was told by Capt. BRIGGS, who has charge of the Canal-street wharf, that *he was not to go in the Atlantic*. He sought an explanation from Capt. WEST, who could give him none, but said he still wished him to go with him. After two or three unsuccessful attempts, he succeeded in seeing Mr. COLLINS, who on recognising him said he could not go, as he should not deviate from the order he had given. Mr. DORIAN then asked if he would give him a certificate of good conduct. Mr. COLLINS replied that he would not. Mr. DORIAN asked if he had done anything wrong. Mr. COLLINS said he had no time to investigate the matter,—"You have told your own story, and nobody else says what you did." Mr. DORIAN was compelled to leave without any satisfaction. Two days after—on Saturday last—he met Capt. LUCE, and asked *him* to give him a certificate,—and why he was treated thus. Captain LUCE replied that a certificate from him was not necessary, as he had already stated publicly his opinion of his conduct, and added: "*You should not have told that about the breaking of the bar, and liquors floating down the scuppers*;" it makes the public think that the ship was in the hands of the passengers, and the Press has taken it up, and sermons have been preached upon it, too." Mr. DORIAN replied that he had told nothing but the truth. He has since written to Mr. COLLINS, asking for a statement of his offence, but has received no reply to his letter.

The statement referred to occurs, it will be remembered, in DORIAN's letter to the father of young HOLLAND. We called attention to the importance of its bearing upon the general discipline of the ship, at the time it was published. It has never been denied, nor has any attempt been made to explain it. Yet for making it public this gallant and noble-hearted sailor,—whose firm self-possession and steady discharge of his duty shames the imbecility of his fellow officers, who did all that was done towards saving life, who received the highest praise from surviving passengers, from his Commander and from Mr. COLLINS himself, is thrown out of the service and denied the means of getting employment in the line of his profession. What is the public to think of such conduct as this?

If the manning and discipline of the COLLINS line is to be judged by the example furnished by the *Arctic*, its owners cannot afford to spare many such men as DORIAN proved himself to be. And if they seek the confidence of the public in their ships, they will scarcely gain it by dismissing the only officer who proved equal to the emergency, for no other offence than that of having revealed a fact discreditable to the discipline and management of the shipwrecked vessel.

We trust there is public spirit enough among our shipping merchants to take care that Mr. DORIAN does not suffer loss from such a cause. And we hope, furthermore, that this incident will incite the legal authorities to a still more prompt and rigid inquiry into circumstances rendered doubly suspicious by the endeavor of the proprietors to conceal them from the knowledge of the public.

Need of a New Post-Office.

We published yesterday the report of a Special Committee of the Board of Aldermen, in favor of leasing to the General Government the lower end of the Park as a site for a new Post-Office. We are confident that this action will command general approbation. The site referred to is unquestionably the best in the City:—a suitable building would be a public ornament, and would add to the attractions of the Park;—and the Postmaster General is warmly in favor of its selection and appropriation to the purpose in question.

Glaring as are the existing evils, the public is but slightly impressed with the absolute *necessity* of a new City Post-Office. The incessant failures of the mail service,—the delays in the delivery of letters,—the dishonesty that has been detected in the office,—the loss of letters, &c., &c., are all traceable more or less directly to the *lack of suitable accommodations* for the discharge of the duties of the office. There is not room for the number of clerks required, nor for the orderly division of duties among them. The business of the Post-Office in this City has grown enormously within the last ten years;—yet the accommodations for it have not been in the least increased. There is room for no more clerks now, than there was when their business was not half as large. Of course it cannot be done half so well. If there could be an official inquiry, by a Congressional Committee, into the business of our City Post-Office, we apprehend the public would be startled by the evidence that clerks within the Office could present. A few instances which have come to our knowledge, of defects in the service inevitable under present circumstances, may serve to indicate the general deficiencies of the office.

The afternoon mail is advertised to close at 3½ o'clock. Complaints are loud and frequent that letters mailed before that time do not reach their destination when due. We understand that it very often happens that *this mail is closed an hour before the time specified*, on account of the physical impossibility, with the present clerical force in the office, of keeping it open and receiving the mails that come in at the same time. Of course the letters received during that hour lie over until the next day.

We have already mentioned the fact that two or three packages of letters from New-Orleans to Providence were sent up to our office in an empty mail bag. That accident was due to the fact that there is not *room*, in the office to keep the empty bags separate from those containing letters. Everything must be done in the utmost haste, and at the last moment, and it is utterly impossible that it should be done accurately without room for the maintenance of the most exact and systematic order.

The clerks now employed are forced to do a third more work than any one can do properly, because there is no room for the accommodation of more. No man can work twelve or fourteen hours a day without impairing his ability to work well. His attention becomes fatigued,—his vigilance loses its sharpness, and his work is performed as a mere routine, without intelligent care. And in a business where accuracy is above all things needed, this is a fatal defect. Our City Post-Office needs *one third* more clerks than it has at present—yet there is not room in the building even for those who are now employed.

This lack of room renders it impossible to take proper precautions against dishonesty. Perfect system is indispensable to such security,—yet it cannot be had within present limits. Everything about the office, as at present managed, tempts to dishonesty. The hard work and low wages impel clerks to the thefts, for which such opportunities are offered. The last one detected was a middle aged man, with a wife and four children to support, and a salary of $480. It seems hard to subject a man thus circumstanced to the temptation of having packages of money pass through his hands, without providing a system of checks which shall insure responsibility for their safety. Under such a system clerks would know and feel that dishonesty without detection was impossible. At present they have every opportunity for the appropriation to which their necessities constrain them.

The efficiency of the service,—the security of the mails,—the convenience of the public—every interest connected with the Department, require a new Post-Office in this City. We need a larger building,—one better arranged and adapted for the service,—one established in a more convenient and suitable location, and one that shall provide for the prospective, as well as the present, necessities of our growing business. We are glad the attention of the General Government has been directed to the subject; and that our Common Council seems disposed to proffer a zealous and intelligent cooperation in the effort to meet the necessities of the Mail service.

Sam among the Poultry.

More Poultry are to be shown off to-day,—Utica being favored as the place of the State Exhibition. A crowd of long-legged Shanghais and fancy birds of all sorts doubtless is to be seen there. But what would suit us far better would be, a cackling collection of good Yankee hens and roosters,—crossed, if you please, with Celestial blood to the improvement of their laying qualities; but still so domestic in appearance as to be recognized by the uneducated as belonging to the barn-yard species. We want hens that can lay seven eggs a week, know how to set in the season of setting, not too elevated to notice their chickens, and which run rather to fine-flavored, tender chicken-meat than to legs of cord-wood length. We go the American doctrine on the hen question. Yet, we are not bigoted and will not object to having "bred-in" any trait that is an improvement from even the haughtiest foreigner.

Going Abroad to Better our Fortunes.

Once in a while, even now, we meet a man who, in despair of any mollowing of the times, determines to go to California to push his fortunes there anew, or to Australia with an indefinite idea that where such enormous wages are given he must be able to lay up something. Impossible, says he, that money should come into my hands, as it must if I get common wages, in such rich heals and I keep none of it from slipping away. So, here goes for a new country, where a man pays no barbers, no fancy tailors, no tradesmen, for articles that other people alone are to get the good of,—unless one chooses;—where the necessities only—they are never many—are objects of expense, the luxuries being, as matter of common consent, left unenjoyed and unpaid for.

Ah, uneasy rover, such was the state of things in the early days of California, but not now. Possibly to-day there are some out in the mines, who dress only with respect to decency and warmth, eat to live but not to enjoy eating, and hoard all they can for the leathern pouch,—but mostly there, as here, money is in a sweat of agony until it shows itself. Whoever gets a dollar ahead is unhappy until his neighbor knows it, and the man who makes a pile must hang out the sign. In this Babel of ours,—in the undiscovered upper-stories and unpermeated alleys of New-York,—a man can live on crackers and cheese and deny himself every pleasure about as easily as in San Francisco, if he is willing to pay that price for wealth. If one is willing to skin flints and gnaw his own nails for lunch, he need not pay his passage around the Horn or buy a steerage ticket on a steamer before he can begin to hoard. In other words, we suspect that a miser can vegetate here as cheaply and lose no more character than he would be obliged to on either shore of the Pacific. It is very doubtful if the time for honest men to make fortunes without capital to begin on has not passed away both from the longitude of California and Australia.

The wages of labor are well up yet in both places, but the cost of necessaries is generally close on the heels of wages. The Sydney papers give the following as the rates paid there at the last date:

"Gardeners, $400 to $500, with rations; waiters at hotels, $10 per week; laborers on the roads, $3 to $3 50 per day; farm servants and plowmen, $9 to $10 per week, with rations; cutters in town, $20 per week; day laborers, $2 50 to $2 per day, with food; female house servants, $130 to $150 per annum; female cooks, $150 to $200 per annum; blacksmiths, $30 per week; masons, $9 to $10 per day; bricklayers, $6 to $7 50 per day; plasterers, $7 50 per day; carpenters, $5 to $6 25 per day; compositors, 62½ cents per thousand, or $31 per week."

These items differ a good deal from the San Francisco rates, but the *average* to mechanics is not far from the same in each. Now, $9 a day for masons and $6 a day for carpenters has a very pleasant look; but roving mechanics must remember that the price of tickets from and to New-York, and of tools to work with, of food to eat and of clothes to wear, is equally unpleasant. Look at both sides before deciding. Count up the cost as well as the wages to be received; then, if you persist, insure your life in favor of your best surviving friend, pay all your debts, and good-bye.

The Whaling Fleet.

Taking the business of whaling as a whole, those who prosecute it have not great reason to complain of its returns. One whaling ground after another is abandoned as not worth cruising over, but another has always been discovered in time for the out-going fleet to make ample profits by its enterprise. When whale have really grown scarce, oil has risen, and, in spite of the almost universal introduction of gas into cities, and lard-oil into our lamps, the demand for oil at the highest prices never flags. Thousands of men have grown rich in the business, and several prosperous towns owe all their prosperity to it. The prospects for the present year, however, are not flattering. Our advices by way of California were to that effect. The New-Bedford *Mercury* thus sums them up:

Copious reports from the whaling fleet in the Ochotsk and Arctic Seas, received here on Saturday, and the Sandwich Islands and California, more than corroborates the unfavorable reports previously received, and have had a depressing effect upon ship-owners. The fleet in the Ochotsk Sea, comprising about two hundred sail, have met with little success since our previous advices, the whales being scarce and small. The average catch will probably not exceed seven hundred and fifty barrels, which is scarcely more than one-half the average of the preceding season. From the Arctic the advices are still more disastrous. The fleet comprises about fifty sail, of which, up to the 15th of Sept. last, about one-half have been heard from, and the average does not exceed two whales. The ships which had arrived at the Sandwich Islands, including one at Peyta, up to the 12th of October, eleven in number, from both seas, and Kodiac, have averaged only 777 bbls. The average from the entire fleet last year was 960 bbls. The effect of the news by this arrival must have an upward tendency in the market for Whale Oil.

Sensible.

The political season is about opening. Governors' messages and tedious columns of legislative debates will be "always in order" now very early. South Carolina commenced its operations in this line yesterday. The Governor sent in his message which—the telegraph can probably be trusted—was *sensible*. The most remarkable thing in it is a recommendation that the laws relative to free persons of color arriving at Southern ports, be modified so as to give less offence to other States. There can be but one opinion out of South Carolina as to the excellence of this advice. May it meet with equal favor in that State and at the hands of those who organized yesterday at Columbia.

☞ We publish this morning a Letter of Mr. JOHN BRIGHT upon the origin and character of the Eastern War. Mr. BRIGHT is one of the ablest members of the House of Commons; and although he seldom concurs fully in opinion with any considerable party in or out of Parliament, he has always cogent reasons for his own views, and a forcible manner of impressing them upon others. He does not hesitate to pronounce the present one of the most unjustifiable wars in which England has ever engaged. He throws the whole responsibility of it upon the English Government, whose conduct he denounces in the most unmeasured terms. Of course, he writes directly against the prevailing current of public thought in England. But his letter cannot fail to make an impression upon thoughtful minds,—and within a year we venture to predict that he will find a good many more people in England to agree with him, than he does at present.

Musical.

ACADEMY OF MUSIC.—Our readers will do well to avail themselves of the present opportunity (to-night) of visiting this lyric establishment. The programme is the same as on Monday—the first and second acts of "Puritani," and the glorious finale to "Lucia." We learn that Signor BADIALI has fully recovered his health, and will sing to-night.

From the present management's official announcement we extract the following programme for December. We trust there will be no lack of support to interfere with the arrangement.

In undertaking a series of Twelve Performances with a Company consisting of Madame GAZZI, Signora VERTTI, Signorina DONOVANI, Signori MARIO, BADIALI, SUSINI, and FERRICATORE, a combination of talent unequaled by any Opera Troupe in the world, the Management have determined not to rely solely on the merits of these Artistes, but, by a succession of novelties, to deserve the liberal support of the public.

This series of Twelve Nights will commence on Friday, the 1st of December, with the Opera of "La Favorita," cast to the entire strength of the Company, with a mise-en-scene and magnificence of costume never before attempted in this City. It will be followed by the Opera of "Semiramide," with Madame GAZZI, Signora VERTTI, Signori MARIO, BADIALI and SUSINI, in the principal roles. The Operas of "Lucia di Lammermoor," "Don Pasquale," and, (if possible), the "Huguenots," will also be given.

Miss TERESSA ESMONDE had another crowded house at Hope Chapel last evening on the occasion of her second "Readings from THOMAS DAVIS and other Irish National Poets." She acquitted herself more credibly than ever before. The opening poem, "The Church of Dungannon," was rendered very correctly, and created great enthusiasm. "Go Ahead," "Fontenoy," and other of the patriotic and warlike poems which did so much to kindle the spirit of insurrection in Ireland in '48, were interpreted with equal spirit and feeling. The entertainment was highly successful.

MEETING OF THE PARKER VEIN CO.

Report of the Directors' Committee.

A meeting of the Stockholders of the Parker Vein Mining Company was held last evening at the Chapel of the University. About 100 Stockholders were present. C. R. MARVIN, President of the Board of Underwriters, was elected President, and JOHN O'BRIEN Secretary.

The President stated that the object of this meeting was to hear the statements of the Directors, and to determine the action of the Stockholders with respect to the report. The statements would be first in order.

Mr. WALDO HUTCHINS read the report of the Directors' Committee.

It commences by a history of the over-issue, and the election of a new Board of Directors consequent upon its discovery, and then gives the following statement of its effects, and of the general state of the Company.

On an examination of the stock books it appeared that the whole number of shares issued was 161,336. The number of shares authorized by the charter was 30,000. The number of shares over-issued was 131,336—all charged to the account of OTIS P. JEWETT; and his account on the stock ledger was found to be overdrawn for that amount. It also appeared that there were 3,925 shares standing to the credit of JAMES G. STACEY, Treasurer, on the stock ledger, for which no certificates appeared to be outstanding—thus making the actual over-issue 127,411 shares. OTIS P. JEWETT has been accredited at various dates between July, 1853, and June, 1854, with large sums of money, amounting in the aggregate, after deducting cash debits, to about $600,000. But it appears, on the other hand, that Mr. JEWETT has received from sales of stock over-issued, as near as can be ascertained by reference to the dates of the over-issued stock, and the market value of the stock at those dates, about $1,300,000, leaving a balance unaccounted for of about $700,000. It also appears that about the time of the election in June last, there were cash balances standing to the credit of the Company, in the different banks, amounting, in all, to about $1,000. And that these several balances were drawn out by JAMES G. STACEY, Treasurer; but to what use they were applied your Committee have been unable to ascertain.

It was rumored at Cumberland on the day of election of Directors, in the 9th of June last, that the Officers of the Company had made an assignment of its property and effects in trust for the payment of its debts. It appears that such an assignment was executed by OTIS P. JEWETT, as Vice-President and President *pro tem.* of the Parker Vein Company, pursuant to a resolution of the Board of Directors, passed June 9, 1854, to J. PHILIP ROMAN and CHARLES S. DARROW. The assignment bears date the 5th of June, 1854, and was recorded on the 6th of June, 1854, in the Clerk's Office of Allegheny County, Md. The Directors have made application to the assignees, and received from them the assurance that they would vacate the trust whenever requested to do so by the Company, provided the consent of the creditors could be obtained. It is believed that the creditors generally of the Company generally will readily consent to a surrender of the trust, in case the plan herewith submitted for reviving the Company shall be adopted.

The real question is, what action does the interest of the stockholders, under these circumstances, demand? The validity of these fraudulent issues of stock may be questioned, perhaps, successfully; but in that case it may well be questioned whether the Company would not be liable to the holders of that stock for the money they have innocently paid therefor to the recognized officers of the Company, without knowledge of the fraud. To dispute these double claims upon the Company would involve us in a long course of litigation, at once expensive and embarrassing; and, in addition to this, the financial condition of the Company, which is briefly explained below, calls, we think, for a more speedy, if not a more equitable, remedy.

The Company owns about 6,000 acres of coal lands lying in the George's Creek Valley and vicinity, in Allegheny, Maryland, nearly all of which are underlaid with the smaller veins or strata of coal; and about 1,100 acres of this property, viz., 250 acres of the Jackson; 501 acres of the Totten, and 350 acres of the Westernport property, is underlaid with the large vein, 14 feet in thickness. The Jackson mine is already opened and in working condition, with its inclined plane tram road, mining cars, tools and implements, and miners' houses.

It is impossible to form an adequate idea of the value of these coal lands without an entire inspection of them. We repeat that they contain one immense vein, 14 feet in thickness, underlying at least 1,100 acres of the most beautiful semi-bituminous coal, of unrivaled purity; and, in addition to this, there are several other lesser veins, both above and below the principal one, underlying nearly 6,000 acres. It is, indeed, a splendid property. Its value will increase from year to year, with the demand for its consumption and the increased facilities for getting it to market.

In conclusion, the Committee would earnestly recommend that *immediate* and *energetic* measures be adopted to carry out the plan herewith submitted. The case is urgent, and will not admit of delay. To wait for relief from the Legislature of Maryland until December, 1855, would result in the sacrifice of the whole property, and a total loss to the stockholders.

STATEMENT OF MORTGAGES, CLAIMS, AND FIXTURES.

Total indebtedness, $626,170.

There is due at various times from 1st January, 1855, to February, 1856, on the Caledonia property, mortgages to the amount of $92,600; but it is assumed these debts will be paid by the proceeds of 25,000 shares of stock of the Caledonia Mining Company, which belong to the Parker Vein Coal Company, and were by them pledged for this special purpose.

In addition to the above, there is a claim made by HIPPOLYTE MALL, for about $21,600; but this claim is not taken in view, as it is presumed it can never be established against the Company.

It is assumed by the Directors, and it is believed with perfect safety, that $129,000 due from 15th November 1855, to 1857, will be paid by sales of land not required by the Company, then leaving $147,170 to be advanced by the stockholders, provided the plan now proposed is carried out.

The plan submitted is fully explained. If it is accepted by the stockholders, the payment to the Company on the mortgage bonds, will be as follows, viz.:

On 25th Dec. 1854, 127,411 shares at 75 cents	$95,558
On 1st April 1855, 127,411 shares at 50 cents	63,706
Total	$159,263

This, it will be seen, shows a surplus of $12,093 over the amount required for the payments prior to November, 1855, and it is hoped the earnings of the Company, with this surplus of $12,093, will enable the Directors to cancel part of the installment to be paid on 1st April, 1855. If they are not disappointed in this, the advance required from the stockholders will not be over $1 12½ per share; but to be on the safe side, it is considered better to fix the loan at $1 25 per share, particularly as the Company cannot be expected to have much, if any credit, even if it were safe to rely on that, for means to pay its indebtedness.

The loan to be secured by a mortgage on the Jackson property and a portion of the Westernport property. The "Jackson" will be entirely paid off in June, 1855, when $18,500 will be paid, that being the sum in excess of the mortgage due on 1st January, 1855. The "Westernport" will have its encumbrance on it of $40,000, due in 1855 and 1856; this mortgage was covering mineral other than of coal land. The mortgage on the Caledonia will take over a large quantity of tolmers between, second tram roads, inclined planes, and other fixtures for mining coal. This property is now in good working order, and in ten days could be put in operation, and be made to earn, at a reasonable interest, $1,000 per week.

If the plan proposed is consummated, the capital stock will be 50,000 shares, or $2,000,000

The mortgages due after Nov. 15, 1855	122,000
The bonds for loan by the stockholders	159,263
The certificates for shares surrendered, 127,411, at $2	254,822
The $122,600 of mortgages are expected to be paid by sales of land, then leaving to be paid first the bonds and then the certificates of indebtedness.	

Estimate of Earnings for the Year 1855.

300 tons of coal per day, 300 days, is 90,000 tons at 50 cents	$45,000
10,000 tons coke per year, at $1	10,000
Total	$55,000

The following is the agreement proposed by the Committee for the adjustment of the over-issue:

Whereas the capital stock of the Parker Vein Coal Company has been over-issued to the amount of 127,411 shares, in violation of its charter, and whereas no relief can be obtained from the Legislature of Maryland until December, 1855, therefore we, the undersigned Stockholders of the Parker Vein Coal Company, in view of the necessity of a voluntary surrender of the over-issued stock, in order that the Company may maintain its existence, and preserve its property from sacrifice, do hereby agree to surrender to the Treasurer of said Company the necessary percentage of shares on the numbers set opposite our names, (provided there are subscribers hereto for at least 127,411 shares,) so as to reduce the outstanding shares to 30,000.

We to receive a certificate of indebtedness of said Company for $2 for each share surrendered, such certificate to be paid with six per cent interest per annum out of the earnings or sales of portions of the property of the Company, after providing for the loan and mortgage debts and interest of the Company; the said certificates to be paid in full, principal and interest, before any dividends are made to the Stockholders.

All holders of said certificates (should the Legislature of the State of Maryland authorize the increase of the number of shares of the stock of said Company to the number of shares now outstanding) to have the privilege of converting the same into stock of the Company, each $2 represented by certificate to entitle the holder to one share of stock, any payments that may have been been made on account of the principal of said certificates to be first repaid to the Company.

We further hereby subscribe for and agree to take from the Company a bond, at the rate of $1 25 for each $2 certificate we receive for stock surrendered as above, and to pay to the Treasurer of the Company for the same as follows, viz., at the rate of 75 cents, on the 25th December, 1854, and 50 cents on the 1st of April, 1855; receipts for the first pay must to be given, and the bonds to be delivered on the payment of the last installment, to bear interest at six per cent. per annum from the date of payment; to be payable at the option of the Company, on or before 1st January, 1856, and to be secured by a mortgage on the coal lands, known as the Jackson property, and that portion of the Westernport property lying between Jackson property and the George's Creek Railroad in Allegheny County, Maryland; the whole containing about 600 acres of coal land; the mortgage to include the miners' houses, stores, tram roads, inclined plane, and other fixtures for mining coal.

The report was accepted.

T. KETCHUM—I would suggest that it is of importance to ascertain who the stockholders are. I have made advances upon this stock as security, and if the parties are unable to pay me, of course I shall become a stockholder. If the Directors would assume the responsibility of opening the books, in order to ascertain who are and who are not stockholders, then we would be prepared to say whether we would enter into the plan proposed. But in the present condition of the books it is impossible to tell whether we are stockholders or not.

Mr. GOURLIE proposed the following series of resolutions:

Whereas, It appears from the Report of the Directors of the Parker Vein Coal Company, now submitted, that the financial departments of the Company are such as to require prompt action to avert the sacrifice of its property, to reorganize the Company and clear its affairs under the control of the Directors; and whereas, such action involves the necessity of retiring the amount of stock overissued by former officers of the Company, and of providing sufficient means to meet the pending pressing demands against the Company, it is, therefore,

Resolved, That in the opinion of this meeting it is expedient to adopt measures to reorganize the Parker Vein Coal Company, and save its property to the stockholders.

Resolved, That this meeting recognizes the liability of the Parker Vein Coal Company for the redemption of stock, and approves of the measures proposed by the Directors for retiring it from circulation.

Resolved, That this meeting approves of the plan proposed for raising the money necessary to provide for the pressing liabilities of the Company, and pledges its cooperation in carrying the same into effect.

Resolved, That it is earnestly urged upon every Stockholder to unite in carrying out the plan proposed for their acceptance, as the only feasible method of saving a valuable property from absolute sacrifice, and that the Directors be authorized and requested to use all necessary means to present the same to every Stockholder and secure their cooperation.

Resolved, That in the opinion of this meeting, the holders of the debt certificates under the plan proposed for taking the over-issued Stock, should have the privilege, when the same can be done legally, of converting the same into Stock, and that the Directors be authorized and requested to apply to the Legislature of Maryland at its next Session, for the necessary amendments to the Charter, to authorize the issue of Stock therefor.

Mr. KETCHUM repeated his objections. If the books could be opened only for a week, they would then be ready to pass upon the agreement.

D. STEWART said that there was an injunction now on the Directors, preventing them from opening the books. It could not be removed.

T. S. DRAPER—If we all come together and reduce our interest to 30,000 shares we can open the books. If we raise our shares, or alter their par value, our interests will be the same, for we can have no more than 7,000 acres of land. We have all met as heavy losers; every face here is a long face. We have come here to a sort of a rotten egg feast, but I mean now to see if we cannot make a few good eggs, and get the old hen to setting again. [Laughter.]

The resolutions were further discussed by Mr. GOURLIE, CHARLES H. BRAINERD, Esq., Mr. HUTCHINS, and Mr. S. C. GLIN, T. HERSIQUE, and CHAS. H. THOMPSON. They were then passed by a large majority, and the meeting adjourned.

ANTI-SLAVERY LECTURES.

Course of Lectures before the New-York Anti-Slavery Society.

The first of a series of Lectures before the New-York Anti-Slavery Society was delivered last evening at the Tabernacle, by Rev. R. S. STORRS, who took the place of Hon. CHARLES SUMNER, that gentleman having been detained by illness.

The orator said he was there, in the absence of Mr. SUMNER, to unfold certain relations of Slavery, which statesmen's duty would not allow them to develop. The discovery of the country would show that a special dispensation of Providence ordained that instead of its becoming the hot-bed of tyranny in the way of slaveholding, it should be the receptacle of all that was free and unshackled. The Rev. speaker then alluded to the fact, from evidences deduced from historical records, of this country having been visited at various periods by Europeans. The voice of COPERNICUS, disclosing that the world was governed in its course by a superior system—the doctrines of CALVIN, LUTHER and ZUINGLIUS were all hidden from the Egyptian, the Roman and the Grecian—all this was left for Christianity to develop. It had to surmount all the difficulties which were reared up,—errors had to be melted away. Among the fires of persecution, Christianity had always acted here with great force—but we have never needed purifying from original superstition, and as no other nation has had a lot cast like this, it would seem that God has designed it for himself,—its extent and resources would tend to prove this proposition. Without referring to the relative proportions of England, the grand emporium of wealth, aristocracy and power, compared with our State of Missouri, the far off Territory of Oregon, or that which includes our vast mineral resources, Pennsylvania—to mention but only made of that grandest empire of which history makes mention, and see if Rome in all her vastness may not be dropped into one of our forests, and yet scarcely a reckoning be taken of it. The fertility of the soil he would not advert to,—yet he would say man grew oftentimes where trees would not. How admirably adapted was this land to the various places of industry,—introducing all the varieties of climes—what fruit could be not to her possession—who could reckon the multitudes who will here sustain. It would seem truly that God kept the country for himself—the fierce and terrible struggle with the Aborigines—the protracted war with France,—all combine to prove that no nation had an ancestry of more incomparable daring.

The Reverend speaker then drew a very striking parallel between the commercial and political positions (relatively) of Britain and this country—and claimed the conclusion that England shall become commercially, let matter how serious, rugged or tempestuous, still we will remain them. The language we possess seems to be almost universal. It is the language of commerce and love—it is that of the Knight and Troubadour—and, though rough it be, it is elegant—it is the law of trade and commerce—it recounts the deeds of the Knight—it is the language of romance—it can be uttered into the virtual Saxon, or brought into the more beautiful combinations of eloquence and grace.

Throughout the address the speaker was listened to with the utmost attention, for he spoke much prescribed during the delivery of his address, and upon the conclusion was succeeded by Rev. JOHN PIERPONT, of Mass., who delivered a poem of great length, notice of to-day's proceedings. Hon. MR. SUMNER will deliver his address on Thursday next.

Five Points—Getting Ready for Thanksgiving.

There was a jolly time yesterday at the Five Points. Clean children, dirty children, anxious men and equally anxious women, guard correctly at hampers of beef and lamb, potatoes, cabbages and oysters, chickens, turkeys, and all the "fixins" which rolled up to the door of the Ladies' Mission. Girls were busily scrubbing the benches and the desks in the school-room, making ready for Thanksgiving. Women flew about, looking for a chance to make themselves useful, and to secure a mortgage on the turkey. Most men found it convenient to loiter about and secure the coming treat. Contributions from the charitable flow in freely. The amount of good cheer which will crown the big tables to bend on Thursday, will compare well that of last Thanksgiving Day—and that was thought to be a wonderful time. We wandered into the cellars of the Ladies' Mission House. There were a dozen bushels of prime oysters, boxes full of hams and shoulders. One poulterer in Fulton Market had sent two baskets of turkeys and chickens to help in swelling the bill of fare. A cart backed up to the door as we came out, laden with a half dozen barrels, containing cabbages, and onions, and potatoes, and apples; and a small, plump "perker" had granted his farewell to add to the honors of the day. There is great rocking upon Thanksgiving Day on the Points.

In the basket-room sat the ladies, dressing the children and adorning the willow fabrics with blue ribbons, so that they may please the fancy and have a ready sale. The appearance of things at the Mission is promising.

Mere Use of the Knife.

A boisterous young man named FRANK ASPINWALL, was arrested on Monday night by Policeman WILLIAMS, of the Third Ward, for disorderly conduct in the street, and attempting to stab a person with whom he had a difficulty. In this attempt he cut his own hand severely. The prisoner was sent to the Police Court, and committed for examination by Justice BOGART, at the Halls of Justice.

BUSINESS NOTICES

REDUCTION IN PRICE.

S. & M. B. TOWLE & CO., OFFER A LARGE STOCK of Broche and Woolen Shawls at reduced prices.

500 Broche Square Shawls from $9 to $15.
1,000 Broche Long Shawls from $12 to $20.
700 Woolen Square Shawls from 12s. to $3.
800 Woolen Long Shawls from 20s. to $5.

Ladies Cloth of the closest colors, in Brown, Tan, Blue Ex and Cocoa, from $1 50 to $2 50, of the best quality of French Twilled Cloths.

Cloak Satins and Lyons Velvet 2,000 yards rich, heavy Satin Cloaking, Purples, Brown, Choco and Black, all at a trifle less than cost of importation.

Lyons Velvet, warranted all Silk, from 12s. to 16, of the best manufacture of Lyons and Genoa.

COLUMBIAN HALL, No. 351 Grand st.

GREAT BARGAINS.

CARPETINGS 25 PER CENT. LESS THAN SPRING PRICES.

Elegant velvet and tapestry carpeting from the recent large auction sales, now selling for less than the cost of importation.

New styles velvet, 12s. to 14s. per yard.
New styles tapestry, 4s. to 12s. per yard.
New styles Brussels, 4s. to 10s. per yard.
New styles 3-ply, 4s. to 10s. per yard.
New styles superfine ingrain, 3s. to 7s. per yard.
Ingrain, 2s. to 4s. per yard.

Also, a large stock of new patterns oil cloths, and all other goods pertaining to the trade equally low.

SMITH & LOUNSBERRY, No. 500 Broadway, near Grand st., above stile.

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL DEPOT FOR THE SALE of DESHLER'S CELEBRATED TASTELESS PILLS FOR FEVER AND AGUE.—Owing to the unexampled demand for this new specific for fever and ague, a wholesale and retail depôt has been opened at No. 241 Broadway, nearly opposite the Tabernacle. The inventor of the remedy, Mr. DESHLER, will be in constant attendance, and advice to meet the particular cases of fever and ague patients will be given gratuitously by him.

These pills are warranted to cure the most severe cases.

Orders from the City trade, and from dealers generally, are respectfully solicited.

C. D. DESHLER, Agent, No. 241 Broadway.

FURS! FURS!—LADIES' FURS OF EXTRA QUALities, and of our manufacture only, consisting of Crapes, Victorines, Cuffs and Collars, in all the known varieties and fashions of the same. LEARY & CO.,

Hatters, Astor House, Broadway.

"DONT KNOW"

The NEW DISCOVERY of Dr. DARIUS HAM is a favorite and certain cure for persons troubled with that unhappy ailment of

"NOT KNOWING WHAT AILS THEM,"

whose melancholy, dismal, and frightful imaginations make life a burden and thoughts of death a terror—who are constantly imagining some evil is about to befall them—who are the dreaded of persons, who are, to all outward appearance, free from every visible symptom of disease, and yet are constantly complaining that they are never well, yet do not know what is the matter with them. This arises from a derangement in the digestive organs, generally attended with a total loss of appetite, for which the Company now introduce and introduce a most valuable and injurious tonic. This delicious beverage, called Dr. HAM'S INVIGORATING SPIRIT, is a quick and sure cure of these distressing and disagreeable ailments. As soon as a wine-glassful is taken, the sufferer will feel new life and vigor rushing through his frame, and will soon be a stranger to the gloomy, melancholy and fearful, and become cheerful, happy and strong. It drives away all dismal feelings from the mind, and rids the body from all pains and sickness, and restores the wearied to health. DR. HAM'S INVIGORATING SPIRIT, taken after dinner, will greatly assist digestion. It will quickly cure Dyspepsia, Weak Collic Pains, Nervousness, Wakefulness, and will destroy the unnatural and soul destroying appetite for intoxicating drinks.

Sold by RADWAY & CO., No. 162 Fulton st., Brooklyn, New-York, Wholesale Agents; also by HIS HAYES, No. 159 Fulton st., Brooklyn; and THOS. J. HAYES, No. 104 Atlantic st., Brooklyn.

INNOCENT BUT POTENT—BRANDRETH'S PILLS.—The never failing remedy, whose fame for purifying the blood is now extended to every part of the civilized world and should be in the possession of every one who values health. One or two doses in the commencement have many times rendered a serious illness only a trifling one. Four pills in the beginning are more efficacious than forty when the disease is established.

Men will be born to days of bliss, compared to which has hitherto been his lot, weighed down as he is now by disease, influenced by weakness, and painfully aware of not know how to alleviate, until BRANDRETH'S PILLS were presented to the world. The weak, the feeble, the infirm, the nervous, the delicate, are in a few days strengthened by their operation, and the disease, whatever it may be, is gradually, but surely, and without the aid of a physician. Adapted to all circumstances and situations, they are the best medicine ever introduced for families, as to take to one, preventing scurvy and constipation, requiring no change of diet, particular regimen, or care against taking cold.

BRANDRETH'S PILLS are sold at 25 cents per box, with full directions, at the Principal Office, Brandreth Building, Entrance No. 12 Canal st.

FUR ELEGANT, FASHIONABLE, COMFORTABLE AND CHEAP.—Superb Furs, selected with unusual care and also discrimination, can be found at CHAS. KNOZE'S Establishment, at No. 333 Broadway, or No. 118 Fulton st.; and if the ladies wish to purchase furs at a moderate price, they should not fail to call with him. Remember it costs nothing to examine these magnificent articles, and the reason we hope our lady readers will of Furs will at least make him a visit. His prices will make them purchasers.

THE UNDERSIGNED BEG LEAVE TO ANNOUNCE to the ladies of New York and suburbs that they have a stock of Furs for the Fall and Winter, as now ready for inspection, comprising a large and extensive variety of Sable, Mink, Stone Marten, Russian Sable, Fitch, Chinchilla, &c., and manufactured into Boas, Capes, Cuffs, Victorines, &c., by the most experienced and competent artists, and will be sold much below the usual Broadway prices.

ARCHER & MARTIN,
No. 370 Greenwich st.,

SPECIAL NOTICES.

[illegible]

☞ Stockings Repaired.—[illegible]

☞ Only $2 75 a Pair for Fine French Calf Boots—Made to order, in the most fashionable style, and warranted to wear as well as any $3, or $4 boots made in the City. Double soled, water-proof, $4 50; best French patent leather boots, from up to $10. By

A. BAKER, No. 15 Ann-st.

☞ Lectures on Poetry.—Rev. Dr. Cox, by special request of the audience at the close of his last lecture, will deliver two lectures on *Historic Poetry*, at Hope Chapel, on WEDNESDAY and FRIDAY EVENINGS of this week, at 7½ o'clock.

☞ Health, Joy and Vigor Restored.—Dr. MORSE'S INVIGORATING CORDIAL *will* relieve the most enervated, nervous, dyspeptic, hypochondriac, women the feeble, children the sickly, and all the early consumptive and very low cases. Depositories—[illegible] Chemists and other dealers. Sent to any part of the country. Address D. M. WARNER, 106 Chatham Square, New-York.

☞ Shakspeare Memorial, Saloon—[illegible] Hall. [illegible] *Burns, Burns, Burns, and all concerned interested*; for [illegible] by [illegible] sent to all directions in the United States. A. B. and D. SANDS, 100 N. CLOUDENEN & CO., C. H. RING, New-York; and WM. H. KINGSLEY, Philadelphia. REDDING & CO., Boston.

LAW INTELLIGENCE.

COURT CALENDARS—This Day.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT—Nos. 21 [illegible]

SUPREME COURT—Chambers [illegible]

COMMON PLEAS—Nos. 1012, 1215, 1246, 1256, 1258, 1260, 1262, 1264, 1266, 1268, 1270, 1272, 1274, 1276, 1278, 1280, 1282, 1284, 1286, 1288, 1290, 1292, 1294, 1296, 1298, 1300, 1302, 1304, 1306, 1308, 1310, 721, 483, 1116.

UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT.

DISTRICT OF CONNECTICUT.

Before Judge Nelson and Inglersoll.

PATENT LAW—MACHINES FOR FASTENING PINS—PRIMA FACIE EVIDENCE.

The following, an abstract of which is given below, was tried in a case tried in the United States Circuit Court at Hartford, in September last, before Judges Nelson and Ingersoll. The opinion was drawn up by Judge Ingersoll, and fully concurred in by Judge Nelson. The case will be of interest to pattern-makers, and perhaps also, general readers, as it shows how far the law protects the patentee. Pins have no doubt to do with the everyday life, that the manner in which they are pointed may be interesting to those who are not strictly acquainted with it.

The American Pin Company vs. the Oakville Company et. al.—This suit was filed by the complainants, to enjoin the defendants from using a machine for pointing pins.

The plaintiffs claim, as assignees of a patent for pointing pins, issued to Lemuel Stevens, Sept. 27, 1841, and of a patent for an improvement upon Stevens's machine, issued to John A. Howe, Feb. 26, 1845.

Stevens's machine is a machine for sticking pins on paper in a row. It consists of a horizontal plate, in which are an many grooves, each just long and deep enough to receive the pin, as the number of pins in a row. A sliding hopper, which holds a number of pins, is moved to and fro over the grooves, by pushing the pins in each groove by revolution—and a sliding plate or follower, upon the front of which are wires corresponding to the grooves, and which enter the grooves and drive the pins through the paper, which has been previously and separately crimped, and is laid between clamps.

It seems necessary, in order to insure a uniform distance in the jaws of the old crimping operation, so that the pins must be driven by the wires through the paper while it was in the process of being crimped, instead of after it had passed out of the crimping jaws.

The defendants do not contest the validity of either of these patents. They admit that they are using a machine for pointing pins, but they deny that by this use they are infringing upon any of the rights granted by these patents. They claim to use the machine which Thomas Crosby, of Connecticut, patented for a new and useful machine for pointing pins," granted to Chauncey B. Crosby, April 4, 1854.

Crosby's machine sticks the pins into a fillet of paper, simultaneously the paper being crimped lengthwise, and when stuck, rolled into a roll, so that the hundred of the pins are presented on the disk of the roll. It consists of the brilliant channel, way formed by two bars, down which the pins slide one after the other, hanging by their heads between the two bars. At the bottom of this channel way is placed one end of a revolving screw, which at each revolution takes in its thread one pin from the channel way, and by the mechanical force of the revolution transfers it to the other end of the screw, where it is thrown off from it vertically a horizontal position, then drawn into a groove, so fast as each pin drops, a punch in the head of the screw drives it through the crimped fillet of paper, which has passed out from between the crimping rollers, and is held rigidly, one end by the crimping rollers and the other by the coiling roller, which coils the paper into a roll, so fast as it is stuck. This machine is automatic, while the others are not so.

The machine which the defendants are operating is constructing, substantially, according to the specifications of Crosby's Patent. The specifications and claim of Crosby are not for an improvement upon either of the others, but for an independent machine governed by different principles. The patent is prima facie evidence that Crosby has an exclusive right to that which the patent purports to grant: that he is the first inventor of an machine, governed by different principles, and using means substantially different from the means used by either Stevens or Howe, to produce the effect sought (15 Howard R. 252.) And the complainants, in support of their application, must counteract this prima facie evidence by sufficient counterveiling testimony.

Howe's object was to paper the pins at specified distances apart, for which purpose he used the grooved plate. It was a substantial law of his machine that the distances at which the pins were stuck should be regulated by the distance between the grooves. There is no such law in the defendant's machine, for there is but one groove in it, through which the pins are pushed one at a time into the paper.

Here, then, is invention, grooves had been used in Howe's machine for the pins to push them into the paper. He used the grooves to separate the pins, which separation for mechanical purposes made by hand. He claimed the plate with grooves for separating the pins; also, the sliding hopper, and also the sliding plate or follower with the wires attached, in combination with the grooved plate, and those also, in combination with the sliding hopper, and this is all he did claim.

The same effect of the pins be effected by means of the hopper and the grooved plate. To effect it, the hopper must slide over the plate or the plate slide under the hopper. One of these operations is essential to the machine.

Neither of these operations can be found either in form or substance in the Crosby machine. There is no hopper in it, unless the inclined channel-way be considered as a hopper. But that is stationary, and does not slide over anything. The screw which takes the pins at the bottom of the channel-way, has no motion but a revolving motion. It remains in the same space, and neither moves forward or back. There is nothing then which has any resemblance to the sliding hopper.

In Howe's machine the pins are pushed which take the pins from the hopper must be of the exact size of the barrel of the pin. The thread of the screw in Crosby's machine, which is claimed to be a mechanical equivalent for the groove in Stevens's machine, may be of any size, if not large enough to let the head of the pin fall through.

The blade used in the two machines, therefore to separate the pins are substantially different.

Bloom does not claim as his the mode of pushing pins into paper through a groove by means of a punch. He claims, from the state of the art at the time, have made any such claim with success. The defendants, therefore, have the right to use this mode, notwithstanding the patent. Nor are there any apertures in Crosby's crimping rollers, which resemble those in Howe's machine? Nor are the pins stuck while the paper is within the crimping jaws, as in Howe's machine? but after it has passed out of the jaws. The principles of the two machines are therefore different, and one is not an improvement upon the other.

Decreed, that Howe, that the complainants' bill be dismissed with costs.

For the plaintiff, Mr. Keith and Gov. Baldwin

For defendants, Mr. Stoughton and Mr. R. K. Ingersoll.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT—Nov. 28.

Before Judge Ingersoll.

IMPORTANT DECISIONS IN ADMIRALTY.

The following decisions were rendered orally at the opening of the Court this morning:

BILL OF LADING—DELIVERY OF CARGO.

Charles Stewart vs. The Bark Roderick Dhu.—By the Bill of Lading this suit is brought to recover the value of a hogshead of sugar alleged to have been shipped on the defendant's bark but not delivered to the plaintiff. This was one of a parcel of thirty-eight hogsheads. It is admitted that thirty-seven of them were safely delivered, on plea that the parcel of seventeen, and the question is only as to the one remaining. This proceeds upon the ground that the hogshead was lost or damaged while on the wharf, or in the warehouse, and it is very evident that the wharf was the place. The parcel of sugar was delivered there, and sold by the consignee to be taken from thence, and the consignee sent his gang thereon to the wharf to get the thirty-eight, and they were safely delivered there, even if a loss occurred between the time when they were landed and the time when they were taken away, yet the carrier is discharged.

The Bill of Lading clerk says that only thirty-seven were received by the consignee, and that he was on the wharf and counted only thirty-seven. But two witnesses have brought into my that there days after the arrival of the bark, and after all the other sugar had been taken away, and only a short time before the libellant's witness came for them, they counted the thirty-eight hogsheads on the wheel.

I think that as the wharf was the place of delivery—as the consignee sent there to receive the sugar, the evidence is sufficient to show that they were all landed—that the libellant had notice that they were there, and that they were all there when the carmen went down.

If all the cartmen had been interrogated and had said that they had only carted away thirty-seven, it might go to show that there two witnesses were mistaken, but only one is brought up, and he says that only thirty-seven were accepted for at the storehouse; and that is more inconsistent with their testimony.

As the evidence before me is very satisfactory that all the hogsheads were on the wheel just before the carmen were sent to take them away, it is sufficient to satisfy me that the contract in the bill of lading was performed on the part of the bark.

Libel dismissed with costs.

For libellant, Mr. Wright and Mr. Lane; for claimant, Messrs. Beebe, Scarlle and Benedict.

LIEN ON MATERIAL MEN—MORTGAGES—PRIORITY.

Jacobs vs. Allen.—Libel of lien. The material furnished—By the libellant's testimony it does not appear that it was not been that the main point in it was hardly worth the trouble. Mr. Hill, who testified on it was subpoenaed, Mr. Allen's partner, was not even necessary for me to decide with either of the judges, who have previously given their opinions in the case. But as from the view which I have taken, it is not necessary for me to consider either of them, I have postponed it, to give the decision.

The libel is for materials furnished, and repairs on the vessel, during the months of October, November and December, 1853. Previous to her entry in Baltimore, she was in Philadelphia, and is admitted to have been there when the vessel was sold at auction in New-York, the libellant presented his claim

AUCTION SALES.

SHERIFF'S AND MARSHAL'S AUCTION.—
Henry H. Leeds, Auctioneer.

BILLIARD TABLES, &c.—Henry H. Leeds, Auctioneer.

Henry H. Leeds, Auctioneer.

Thomas Mahoney, Auctioneer.

AUCTION NOTICE.—By Thomas Morrell.

VANDERWATER & TUMBRIDGE will sell at auction

Henry H. Leeds, Auctioneer.

AUCTION NOTICE.—CROCKERY, GLASS AND

A. G. Tuttle, Auctioneer.

AUCTION NOTICE.—SUPERB HOUSEHOLD

Henry H. Leeds, Auctioneer.

U. S. MARSHAL'S SALE.—BRIG IPPO GRIFFO

B. Seymour Henry, Auctioneer.

B. SEYMOUR & HULSE.—THIS DAY (Wednesday)

Adrian H. Muller, Auctioneer.

STOCKS AND BONDS AT AUCTION.—ADRIAN

ADRIAN H. MULLER & CO.—Sold together

ON WEDNESDAY AND SATURDAY

STOCKS AND BONDS AT PRIVATE SALE

A. G. TUTTLE, Auctioneer.

CRESSING SALES OF DUTCH BULBOUS

A. G. TUTTLE, Auctioneer.

AUCTION NOTICE.—Superior Household

Henry H. Leeds, Auctioneer.

H. H. LEEDS & CO.—THIS DAY, Wednesday,

A VENTURE OF A CHATTEL MORTGAGE

ALL PERSONS HAVING CLAIMS AGAINST THE

JOHN H. GATFIELD, Proprietor.

AUCTION SALES.

Bunn Owens, Auctioneer.

FURNITURE AT AUCTION.—On THURSDAY, Nov. 30, at 10 o'clock, at No. 700 Broadway, corner 10th st., by order of Sherwood P. Fowle, Esq., assignee, the balance of the stock, consisting of handsome rosewood and other furniture, &c. Also, four counters with drawers and shelves. Also, four counters with drawers, and other fixtures. Also, two fine pianos. BUNN OWENS, Auctioneer.
Office No. 36 Grand-st.

Henry H. Leeds, Auctioneer.

ORGANS AT AUCTION.—One parlor and one parlor or church organ, will be sold by HENRY H. LEEDS, No. 37 Nassau-st., without reserve, WEDNESDAY MORNING, 29th.

NOTICE.—By virtue of an ordinance of the Common Council of the City of New-York, I shall sell at public vendue on THURSDAY, Nov. 30, at 12 o'clock, at the Public Pound, 50th-st., near 3d av., a Brown Horse and Cart.
J. M. OGELL, Pound Keeper.

FINANCIAL.

OFFICE OF THE DELAWARE, LACKAWANNA & WEST-
ERN R. R. CO., No. 60 Wall-st., N. Y., Nov. 28, '54.

CALL FOR THE 10TH AND 11TH INSTALLMENTS.—A further installment of ten per cent on the increased Capital Stock of this Company has been called for, payable on FRIDAY, the 8th day of December, next, at the Phenix Bank of the City of New-York, for which Scrip will be drawn or hereto fore.

The Eleventh and final installment of ten per cent will be payable on the 8th day of January next.

Subscribers can pay the balance of their subscription at this office any time before the dates above mentioned, if they choose to do so, and receive certificates for their full stock. By order of the Board,
WM. E. WARREN, Treasurer and Secretary.

OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS CENTRAL RAILROAD CO.,
NEW-YORK, Nov. 4, 1854.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN to the stockholders of this Company, that an installment of two dollars on each share of the Capital Stock of the Company, on which ten dollars per share has been heretofore expended, is required to be paid on or before the 6th day of December next, at the office of the Company, No. 56 Wall-st., New-York.

The transfer books will be closed from this date, to, and the ratio of the installment of November instant, but no transfers will be permitted after that date without payment of the installment now called.
By order of the Executive Committee.
C. F. BUNCE, Secretary.

NEW-YORK CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY'S OFFICE.—ALBANY, July 18, 1854.—The undersigned notify that the 7 preferred Convertible Bonds of this Company long since by them issued, are now due, and payable at the office, or at the transfer agencies of this Company in New-York or Boston.

These Bonds have ten years to run, bearing semi-annual interest warrants, payable in New-York. They are issued in sums of $500 and $1,000, payable to bearer, but may be expanded into a state largely in excess if desired, and made payable to order.
JOHN V. L. PRUYN, Treasurer.

OFFICE NO. 6 WALL-ST., NEW-YORK, NOV. 28, 1854.

THE UNDERSIGNED (Pursuant to a vote passed last Saturday of the Delaware and Hudson Canal Company) are authorized to present a GENERAL BANKING AND EXCHANGE BUSINESS in the usual manner, at the office of State Bank and Railroad Securities, and of Business Paper, Dividend and Interest Warrants collected and credited. Mr. JACOB E. VAN NOY, at the special partner, has contributed fifty thousand dollars to the capital of the concern.
GILBERT A. SMITH.

BANK OF NEW-YORK, Nov. 1, 1854.—The holders of the Scrip Stock of the BANK OF NEW-YORK whose installments have not yet been paid, are hereby notified that the balance due (fifty dollars) will be required to be paid on or before the 8th day of January next.
By order of the Board of Directors.
A. P. HALSEY, Cashier.

$60,000 TO LOAN—ON DIAMONDS, Watches, Jewelry, Plate, or bought for cash. Highest price in City of cash and contracts. GREENMAN, BRISTED & CO., Brokers, 57 John-st., cor. Nassau.

PARTIES HOLDING BILLS OR DRAFTS drawn by PAGE, BACON & CO., of San Francisco, or PAGE, BACON & CO., of St. Louis, at the American Exchange Bank, are requested to present them for payment to
DUNCAN, SHERMAN & CO.

DRAKE & BRADFORD, No. 30 Wall-st., make collections on all parts of the Union and British Provinces, buy and sell bank warrants and stocks, bonds, &c., on commission. Advances made on approved securities.

THE BILLS OF THE WOODBURY BANK (Connecticut), will be received by the Mercantile Bank, New-York, at par. DANIEL CURTIS, President.

STOCKS, &c., GREENLEAF, office No. 1 Wall-st., N. Y.

BANK NOTICES.

BANK DEPARTMENT—STATE OF NEW-YORK.
ALBANY, Oct. 27, 1854.—Notice is hereby given, in pursuance of the provisions of the act of the Legislature of the State of New-York, entitled "An act to amend the several acts relating to Banking Associations and Individual Bankers," passed April 17, 1851.
D. E. ST. JOHN, Superintendent.

DIVIDENDS.

DIVIDEND.—The Board of Directors of the HOWARD INSURANCE COMPANY have this day declared a dividend of eight per cent, upon the capital stock of the Company, payable on and after MONDAY, the 4th day of December next.
HENRY A. OAKLEY, Secretary.
NEW-YORK, Nov. 28, 1854.

PACIFIC BANK.—NEW-YORK, Nov. 16, 1854.—The President and Directors of this Bank have declared a dividend of four per cent, on the capital stock, payable to the stockholders on and after the 1st of December next. The transfer books will be closed from the 20th to the 30th inst., inclusive. By order of the Board
J. CAMPBELL, Jr., Cashier.

165 CANAL-STREET, NEAR VARICK.—W. RYAN HOTEN Stove Store.—We have large assortment of the latest patterns of stoves for sale on reasonable terms. Stoves and ranges fitted with over-stoves and repaired, grates set and repaired, stoves put up, and pipe furnished for same. Also, cast-iron griddles for the wholesale and retail. The excellence of the griddles consists in their requiring but one greasing when used. All kinds of masons work done.

TO SUBSCRIBERS.—Burke Hospital, Putnam's, Cooley's, Graham's, and all other Periodicals, would do well to send them to R. W. & T.'s Book-Bindery, where they will be bound in a neat, substantial manner, and with despatch.
The country trade solicited. A liberal discount made to Carriers for cash.
Persons wishing volumes from the bindery to hand, must pay the express charges, and be particular in the description of the binding.
BRITT, WEAVER & THORNE,
No. 41 Fulton-st., N. Y.

INDIA RUBBER COATS, HORSE COVERS, &c., which are perfectly water-proof, and will not become stiff by the cold, manufactured on hand, which for quality and price, defy competition. ROGERS & WOOD, 17, Ann-st., corner of Nassau-st. N. B. Dec. 31 Cortlandt-st. N. Y. Goods with every variety of Rubber Goods, wholesale and retail.

ASSORTMENT NOTICE.—All persons having claims against the Assortment Concern, formerly carried on by the firm of Hewitt & McCullum, are hereby notified to call at the store of the undersigned, No. 48 Ann-st., and receive payment.
F. H. BARTHOLOMEW, Assignee.

RAILROADS.

CHICAGO TO ST. LOUIS.—New and cheap route, now out and running, CHICAGO AND ST. LOUIS RAILROAD.

Two daily Passenger Trains (Sundays excepted) leave Chicago at 7 A. M. and 7 P. M. (New Haven and Shortpoint) Bloomington, Springfield, Alton, and St. Louis.

Through Ticket to Alton (for St. Louis) $5 50.

Baggage checked through and no change of cars between Chicago and St. Louis.

For Ticket and Baggage Checks, apply at the Office of the Company, in the Depot, foot of Lake-st., Chicago.

WM. WORTHINGTON, Agent.

CHICAGO AND MILWAUKEE.

Connecting in unbroken connection, with the Passenger Line of Steamers, leaving Chicago at 3 P. M., without detention, THE TRAIN FROM CHICAGO TO ST. LOUIS (at SOUTH BEND) leaves Chicago at 10 A. M., without detention. The Steamers are Elegant and Commodious, the Road in Excellent Order, and the traveling public will at once see the important advantage of this route.

ALL AIR LINE ROUTE TO ST. LOUIS.
Over any other route from Chicago to St. Louis.

NOTICE.—IMPORTANT CONNECTIONS.

AT ALTON.—Connections with daily line packet to Keokuk, with the most direct route to Hannibal, Quincy, St. Louis and Northern points.

AT ST. LOUIS.—Connections with first-class lines of steamers for New-Orleans and all points on Missouri River; also, Connections, Kansas and Arkansas Rivers; and now with steamers for all points on Missouri River, making this the direct route to Kansas and Central Iowa.

E. F. MORGAN, Jr., Superintendent.
CHICAGO, Aug. 1, 1854.

CLEVELAND AND TOLEDO RAILROAD.—WINTER ARRANGEMENT.—AMERICAN LAKE SHORE LINE.—For TOLEDO, CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND, ST. LOUIS, GALENA, DUBUQUE, MILWAUKEE, SHEBOYGAN, and the West generally; also, SANDUSKY, DAYTON, INDIANAPOLIS, CINCINNATI and FORT WAYNE.—Passengers for this route are not subjected to any expense for transferring themselves or baggage or the annoyance of Omnibus rides, as the Fine Sidle trains from Cleveland leave—7:30 A. M. Mail Train from station of C. C. C. & T. R. R. for Toledo, Chicago, and St. Louis. Express—3 A. M. Express train from west side of the river, for Sandusky, Dayton, Indianapolis, Cincinnati and Fort Wayne. Express—7:30 P. M. Express Train from Station of C. C. C. & T. R. R. for Chicago, and St. Louis. Fourth—7 P. M. Mail Train from west side of the river, for Sandusky. Fifth—7:30 P. M. Night Express Train, from station of C. C. C. & T. R. R. for Toledo, Chicago, and St. Louis.

Tickets and Baggage Checks to Chicago and St. Louis can be procured at the principal Railroad Ticket Offices.

F. H. PHILLIPS, Superintendent.
OFFICE OF C. & T. R. R., CLEVELAND, Nov. 28, 1854.

CENTRAL RAILROAD OF NEW-JERSEY.—NEW-YORK, SOMERVILLE, EASTON, &c., WINTER ARRANGEMENT.—Commencing Nov. 20, 1854.—Leave New-York for Easton, at 7 A. M., Express; and 4 P. M., Mail. Leave New-Brunswick, at 9 A. M. and 4 P. M., starting at Elizabethport with cars for the New-Jersey Railroad, which leave New-York from foot of Cortlandt-st., at 6, 8, 11 A. M., 2, 3 P. M. and 7 P. M.

For Somerville, Flemington, and Lambertville Railroad only, Train at foot of Cortlandt-st., at 3 P. M.

Returning, leave Flemington (opposite Easton) at 6:15 and 9:45 A. M., and 3 P. M. Somerville (Way Train) at 6:45 and 10:45 A. M., and 4 P. M.

Leave Easton and Elizabethport—Leave New-York at 8 and 10:40 A. M., and 4 P. M. Leave Elizabethport at 8 and 9:45 A. M., and 1:35 and 3:30 P. M.
JOHN O. STERNS, Superintendent.

NEW-YORK AND ERIE RAILROAD.—On and after Monday, Nov. 27, and until further notice, the Trains leave Hoboken as follows, viz.: Express at 7 A. M. for Dunkirk, Buffalo, Niagara Falls, Mail, at 8:15 A. M. for Dunkirk and Buffalo and intermediate Stations. Day and Passenger at 2:30 P. M. (from foot of Chambers-st.) via Piermont for Dunkirk, Buffalo, Niagara Falls, Rochester, Flight Express, at 6 P. M. for Dunkirk and Buffalo, Accommodation at 9 P. M. for Dunkirk and Buffalo, and intermediate stations. On Sunday, only one Express Train at 7 A. M. These Express Trains connect at Buffalo with the Express Trains for Detroit, Chicago, and Cleveland, Cincinnati, Toledo, Detroit, Chicago, &c.
D. C. McCALLUM, General Superintendent.

HARLEM RIVER RAILROAD.—On and after MONDAY, Nov. 20, 1854, the Trains will leave Chambers-st. for Harlem, as follows: Train 1 A. M. connecting with Northern and Western Trains. Mail Train 8 A. M. Through Way Train, 12 M. Express Train 4:45 P. M. Accommodation Train 6 P. M. For Fordham—Way Passenger Train, 11:30 A. M. Mail Train 3 P. M. For Williams Bridge—Way Passenger Train, 7 A. M. For Tarrytown, 8 A. M. The Tarrytown, Pocantico and Pughkeepsie Trains stop at all the Way Stations. Passengers taken at Chambers, Canal, Grand-st. and 42d-st. SUNDAY MAIL TRAIN at 8 A. M. from Canal-st., for Albany and all Intermediate Stations.
OLIVER H. LEE, Vice-President.

NEW-YORK AND PHILADELPHIA AND THE SOUTH AND WEST VIA NEW-JERSEY RAILROAD.—UNITED STATES MAIL AND EXPRESS LINE.—Leave New-York, foot of Cortlandt-st., at 7 and 10 A. M., and 4 and 6 P. M.—THROUGH IN FOUR HOURS.—Express, at 10 A. M., stopping at Princeton, Trenton, Bordentown, and Burlington. Express, at 6 P. M., stopping at all way-stations. Through tickets sold for Cincinnati (or any point in the West), and for Baltimore, Washington, Norfolk, &c., and Through Baggage checked to Washington in 5 and 6 A. M., and 5 P. M.

TROY AND BOSTON RAILROAD.—The only expeditious and only through route to Montreal in connection with the Green Mountain Line of Steamers, leaves Troy at 7 A. M. Express, at Hoosick Tunnel at 11 A. M., Boston, 1 P. M., and at Montreal at 7 P. M., same day. By Way Train, at 10 A. M.—Arrive at Hoosick at 1 o'clock, at Bennington at 1:45, same evening, Troy and Boston, 7 P. M., Montreal, 7 A. M. next morning. Train leaving at 4 and 5 P. M. will leave at Troy: leave next morning at 7 o'clock, and arrive at Burlington, Montreal and Ogdensburgh, same day.
ISAAC V. BAKER, Superintendent.

MICHIGAN SOUTHERN AND NORTHERN INDIANA RAILROAD LINE.—Through to CHICAGO, ST. LOUIS, KANSAS, and all points West and Southwest, can obtain Through Tickets at the Company's Office, 191 Broadway, either by the New-York and Erie Railroad, or New-York Central Railroad, by application at the Company's Office, No. 193 Broadway, NEW-YORK.
JOHN C. SPENCER, General Agent, or
L. P. DUNTON, Ticket Agent.

CAMDEN AND AMBOY RAILROAD TO PHILADELPHIA.—from Pier No. 1 North River, Two lines daily at 6 A. M. and 4 P. M. Express at 6 A. M. passengers at 7 A. M. JOHN POTTER to North Amboy, Express at 6 A. M. by way Camden and Amboy; fare $2. Express Train at 1 P. M., by JOHN POTTER to Amboy; through direct to Camden by cars; through in five hours; fare $3. Way Accommodation Line at 4 P. M.; fare 75 cts. Express Line at 7 P. M.; fare $1 25.

Emigrant line at 4 P. M.; fare $1 75.

INSURANCE.

REPUBLIC FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY of No. 4 Broad-st.—NEW-YORK, Nov. 21, 1854.—The Board of Directors have this day declared a Dividend of ten per cent, on the capital stock, payable to the stockholders, on and after the 1st day of December next. The transfer books will be closed until that date.
H. QUACKENBOS, Secretary.

MACHINERY.

SOLID WROUGHT IRON HOUSE FOR FIRE PROOF BUILDING VAULTS, FRONT FRONTS, DOORS, &c., &c.—The Patentees are prepared to furnish solid Wrought Iron Buildings, with or without Iron Fronts, or a Front which combines the proof qualities of the metal, with very much more elegant appearance than the common Iron Fronts. The public are invited to call and examine the specimen of a house which combines the proof qualities of the metal, with very much more elegant appearance than the common Iron Fronts. The public are invited to call and examine the specimen of a house which combines the proof qualities of the metal, with very much more elegant appearance than the common Iron Fronts. For further information apply to COOPER & HEWITT, No. 17 Burling-slip.

SALAMANDER SAFES.

BANKS CONTEMPLATING REFITTING—Should find it profitable to call on the LILLIE SAFES AND BANK LOCKS, which have never failed, in the 19 years they have been in use, to preserve their contents from the fire. A variety of other Fire Proof Safes, of the best quality, constantly on hand. Also, Patent Locks, Bolts, Bank Doors, Iron Shutters, Iron Doors, Iron Fronts, Iron Doors, Iron Shutters, Iron Doors, Iron Shutters, Iron Doors, Iron Shutters

New-York Daily Times.

THE SENTENCE OF DR. BEALE.

Extraordinary Statement by the Prisoner—The Scene in Court.

From the Philadelphia Bulletin, Nov. 27.

DR. S. T. BEALE, convicted of an outrage upon the person of MISS MUDGE, was brought into the Court of Quarter-Sessions, this morning, for the purpose of receiving his sentence.

The prisoner was accompanied by his wife, and was joined in the court-room by his sister and two other ladies, and by a number of male friends. The ladies took their seats in front of the prisoner's dock. Dr. BEALE looked very pale and care-worn, and his face expressed much mental anguish. His sister wept and sobbed aloud almost incessantly. The court-room was full, but not over-crowded.

Before your Honor proceeds to pronounce sentence, Dr. Beale has a statement which he wishes to submit to the Court. In this statement I have, of course, had no hand nor share. I trust your Honor will hear it.

Judge Thompson—Certainly, Sir.

Dr. Beale then arose, and in a faltering voice proceeded to read the following statement:

DR. BEALE'S STATEMENT.

I am aware that the declamations and the eloquent harangues of a man placed in the circumstances in which I stand before this Court, have but little weight with the public mind. At the same time, I am constrained to give utterance to the truth, however contemptibly or stupidly it may be received. It will, in some measure lessen the anguish of my hours of confinement to remember that I protested my innocence of the dreadful crime of which a Jury have convicted me. This I now do, in the most decisive and solemn manner, appealing to the Searcher of all hearts, my Maker, and my final Judge, to witness what I say. I never contemplated an outrage, I never attempted it. My whole soul revolts at the suggestion.

I had feelings towards my accuser somewhat like those of a parent toward a child. She had grown up under my care, and on many occasions had received my professional services and attentions. It was a strange hallucination, that led her to suspect me of crime, and it was nearly as strange that she should have made the charge against me; a charge which once made, would be likely to be persisted in, whatever her subsequent convictions might be.

There were those, I am sorry to say, who stood by her, commanding her to make confirmation doubly strong by the enormity of an oath, instead of cautiously and earnestly inquiring whether she might not have taken a fantastic freak—the vagaries of the imagination for the excitement of her passions? One circumstance that stuck, she received, was powerful to make out their case. They rejoice in their success. They have hurled an innocent man from a cherished and heart-broken wife and eight helpless children.

At this point in his address the wife, sister and other female friends of the prisoner burst into tears. Dr. Beale became much agitated, and also wept bitterly.

The sister said aloud, "Yes, and from his poor old father and mother, who are dying!"

Mr. Brown then offered to read the remainder of the statement, but the prisoner declined the offer, adding that he hoped the Court would pardon the weakness of nature. Dr. Beale then resumed—

They have torn an innocent man from his wife and helpless children, and from aged parents who on much good his care and solace, who have almost reached the grave, to which they now are rapidly hastening with sorrow, deep sorrow. I say they have torn an innocent man from all these loved ones, who depend entirely on him for their comfort and support, and made him a prisoner, a prisoner, I say; not a felon. Foul was often a prisoner, but never a felon. It will mitigate the severe sentence of my imprisonment that I can not be convicted and no verdict of my Jury can make me so. The poor and the right to assert my innocence my Maker gave me, and in asserting it I need not ask the privilege of any earthly tribunal.

A word in regard to the chart, mysterious hour unaccounted for (from 10 to 11 o'clock) on which so much stress has been laid. Why is it unaccounted for? Because my lips were closed as if the seal of death was upon them, and now they are unsealed. I need only refer to Miss Mudge's own statement, and careful, intelligent men can decide whether the representations so positively made at this point are true or false. It should be borne in mind that the time, though called an hour, was but three-quarters of an hour, for, according to the evidence given in Court, the workmen were at the operating-room door every few minutes from 10 o'clock to the time Miss Mudge left the house. Mind, I simply evidence on this point, is this: "I went to Dr. Beale's about 10 o'clock; I went into the front parlor, and in a few minutes Dr. Beale came in and said he was not quite ready; he had some ladies in his office; in about ten or fifteen minutes after she came down with some ladies and near the door of the door; I then went up into the operating room, took my bonnet off, and took a seat in the operating chair; Dr. Beale produced his bottle and inquired after my health and the health of our family; he then handed me a bottle, and said he would have to go and see one of his workmen; he left the room; I do not know how long he was gone; when he came back, he began to operate on my teeth to prepare it for plugging; it was very painful, and he proposed to put something in it to remove the pressure; I declined having it, and proposed taking other, and he gave me other."

From this statement of Miss Mudge, in which she appears perfectly honest, every thinking mind must see that the time in question was wholly gone, before she began to take other, and yet her counsel Mr. Wharton, wishing to make out his case, passed by all this, and said "the average if committed at all, must have been committed in this time." I believe if the question had been asked Miss Mudge, when time she began to take other, she would have said about 11 o'clock.

Miss Mudge said I handed her a book and left the operating room. I did so; I was absent from the room about ten or twelve minutes, and after I returned, I handed me her teeth about ten minutes before she began to take other, and while she was under the influence of it, I finished the preparation of one tooth for plugging, and cut into the pulp or nerve of another tooth, which made it bleed; this cut appeared to give her pain; I immediately told her I must take the tooth out; she asked why; I said I cannot save it alive, and it had better be out; she said give me more other then; I did so, and took it out; it appears Miss Mudge did not know that I finished the preparation of one tooth for plugging, while she was under the influence of ether, and that I cut the nerve of the other, and yet she thinks she knew everything that transpired in the room; her mind was occupied with thoughts and things of which she has told us, and I suppose, honestly, but which never transpired; here was a delusion, and for one a real one.

As to a new trial, which I earnestly sought, and which the Court have seen fit to deny me, I must be permitted to say a word. It would have given me unspeakable joy, if the application had been granted. Were there any lurking, or insuperable objections? If I am guilty as charged, justice would so certainly have been meted out to me. If guilty, the verdict of another Jury would probably have been at least an *unbiased verdict*. Is it so from? I respectfully submit to the Court, is it so now? Is not all of the testimony taken with the deliberations of that Jury have been made public. I leave the gentlemen judge what sort of a Jury it was, who, within half an hour of coming in to Court, to convict a man of an infamous crime, could have had a menagerie exhibition, some of them braying like an ass, and roaring like a lion, singing the song of the "Landlady of France," with the "Good Brandy, Oh!" and other bacchanalian songs equally indecorous and unbecoming. But it is said, there was no undue excitement from liquor in all this. Those who urge this in behalf of this Jury, do them great unkindness. It is infinitely better for their reputation to admit that they were ill-guided with liquor, than on other ground they had such insufficiency of average morals as to form, and play the jackass and the lion twice very shortly after, to render a verdict, which was to condemn such a father to a prison, and to blight and blast the happiness of an innocent wife and eight children, and harrow the aged parents in sorrow to the grave. Deeply as I have been wronged by the verdict of the jury, I must say in their behalf, if credit is due, that I do not believe that they could so grossly outrage propriety and demeanor, if it had not been for accursed rum.

But there are facts in my possession which the intelligentsia of the law would not allow to be made public. They are such as these: besides the four bottles of wine used at dinner on Friday an hour or two before the verdict was rendered, this jury had a brandy bottle in their room, filled up twice on that day. Besides this, one among them had an accused countenance, and seated the landlord to their door, opened it to him, and ordered glasses of brandy and cigars. If I am what up in a cell where are those in this community, who can and will substantiate these facts if they are denied.

Even the editor of my side and learned counsel—who had most perfect confidence in his cause, as well as in my innocence—after a speech of more than two hours had been made against me, to submit the case without argument, in view of an adjoining Providence by which a brother of one of the jurors was suddenly and in a moment struck down by death, say even the offer to submit the case without argument, was used to my disadvantage, and hastened my conviction. A juror, immediately after the rendering of the verdict, said, in the hearing of members, "Davy" (alluding to Mr. Brown) "will hang the Jury that set the bed, he had nothing to say."

Another juror, *before he had heard a word of my defense*, said of me, "D—n him, he is a gaisty fellow, I will remember him." If the forms of law might be thus, I would ask the Court to look these facts, on indications of prejudice which bear them, and judge *what sort of a Jury* pronounced a verdict against me.

One thing more I have to say, and I know they will heartily join me in this, as we, *if any one of them shall ever be accused of crime*, I hope they will have a fairer, more sober, and more moral set of men to judge of their case than I had.

I speak not of them all; there were two or three honest men among them, and my only regret is, that they had not the firmness to stand by their convictions in spite of liquor and all the other influences to bring them to a false verdict.

And here, some my elected witnesses, who kindly rushed around me in my extremity, spoke of by one or more of the jurors! In this, profane and lawless language, I will show the distance that one on bad as the N. Y. This fact is derived from one of the jury.

The District Attorney will pardon me in a passing allusion to the course which he has seen fit to pursue. It was altogether necessary, to prove upon me, with all the weight of his character and influence, to cause the Jury to find the verdict in proof the Court, in granting a new trial, to the prisoner in proof the Court, in granting a new trial, to the prisoner.

Why is it necessary to prove upon me, with all the weight of his character and influence, to cause the Jury to find the verdict in proof the Court, in granting a new trial, to the prisoner in proof the Court, in granting a new trial, to the prisoner.

Why was